Exhibit F

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 2. 3 4 ROBERT STIFFLER, 5 Plaintiff : vs. 6 : 2:21-cv-00523-JFC 7 APPLE INCORPORATED and 8 VERIZON INCORPORATED, Defendants : 9 10 11 12 13 Deposition of: ROBERT G. RYHAL 14 Taken by : Defendant : Joyce a. Wise, RMR Before 15 : Monday, July 25, 2022 16 Date 10:04 a.m. 17 Place : Zoom Deposition 18 19 20 21 22 23 NETWORK DEPOSITION SERVICES 1101 GULF TOWER 707 GRANT STREET 24 PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219 25 (412) 281-7908

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	SANTICOLA, STEELE & FEDELES, P.C. BY: Michael F. Santicola, Esquire
5	722 Turnpike Street Beaver, PA 15009
6	
7	Counsel for Plaintiff
8	ARENT FOX SCHIFF
9	BY: Stephen M. Copenhaver, Esquire 233 South Wacker Drive
10	Suite 7100 Chicago, IL 312.258.5500
11	Counsel for Defendants
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 INDEX OF EXHIBITS 3 RYHAL DEPOSITION PAGE 1: Notice of Deposition......7 4 2: Curriculum Vitae8 5 3: Current CV.....9 6 7 4: Court Testimony list.....9 5: Communications with Client......22 8 9 6: Correspondence with Fire Marshal...24 10 7: Photos27 11 12 9: iPhone article just as a place holder 10:Billing statements37 13 11:Page 25 of 33 of Henry Report73 14 15 (Exhibits Retained) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	STIPULATION
2	It is hereby stipulated and agreed by
3	and between counsel for the respective parties
4	that the deposition is being taken for discovery;
5	that reading, signing, sealing, certification, and
6	filing are waived; that all objections, except as
7	to the form of the question, are reserved to the
8	time of trial.
9	* * * * ROBERT G. RYHAL,
10	called upon by Defendant to give testimony, being
11	duly sworn or affirmed by me, testified as:
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
14	Q. Good morning, Mr. Ryhal.
15	Spell your last name for the record.
16	A. Robert George Ryhal, R-Y-H-A-L.
17	Q. All right. Mr. Ryhal, I'm gonna try to
18	remember the pronunciation of your last name.
19	I'll do my best, but if I mess up, my
20	apologies.
21	So we're here today to take your
22	deposition in the matter of Robert Stiffler versus
23	Apple, et al.
24	I understand you've been retained as an
25	expert on behalf of the plaintiff.

1	Is that your understanding?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. I'm sure you've been through this
4	process a number of times, so I'm not going to
5	belabor them.
6	But a couple of ground rules I want to
7	go over with you that are primarily intended make
8	the court reporter's job a little easier.
9	Please try to wait for me to finish
10	asking my question before you start your answer
11	and I'll try to wait for you to finish answering
12	before I start my question.
13	Is that fair?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. If I ask a question that you don't
16	understand or is confusing, please let me know and
17	I can attempt to rephrase it.
18	Okay?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. I tend to take breaks on the hour. If
21	you need a break at any point in time other than
22	that, however, please just let me know.
23	I would just ask that you answer
24	whatever question is pending and then we take a
25	break for everyone. Okay?

1	Α.	Yep. Great.
2	Q.	You're doing great so far, but just
3	please co	ntinue to answer out loud and verbally.
4	I can see	you and I know if you nod or shake your
5	head what	you mean, but that doesn't show up real
6	well on t	he record.
7		Okay?
8	A.	Correct.
9	Q.	Do you have a copy of the Notice of
10	Depositio	n in front of you?
11	A.	No, I do not.
12	Q.	Have you been sent a copy of that
13	notice?	
14	A.	I received an e-mail.
15	Q.	So I have up on my screen what we'll
16	mark Exhi	bit 1, which is a Notice of Deposition of
17	Robert G	Ryhal.
18		Do you see that?
19	A.	Yes.
20		(Ryhal Deposition Exhibit
21		Number 1 marked.)
22	BY MR. CC	PENHAVER:
23	Q.	Is that a document you have seen before?
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q.	Okay. And do you recall that on the

second and third page of that document, there was

a list of items that you were requested to produce 3 in advance of the deposition? I saw that list, yes. 4 5 Okay. Did you provide those materials 0. 6 to counsel? 7 I believe everything that was requested, Α. they had reviewed it and asked me to provide X, Y, 8 9 Ζ. So, truthfully, I didn't go line by 10 line, because I thought that they had taken care 11 12 of it.

Let's go ahead and do that to make sure

The first thing is a copy of your

I have everything that you have.

16 current CV.

1

13

- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. Okay. Let me see if I can pull that up,
- 20 make sure what I have is the current one.
- 21 Up on the screen I have pulled up a
- 22 document entitled curriculum vitae of Robert G.
- 23 Ryhal.
- Is that your CV?
- 25 A. Yes.

It looks like a rev date of 7/20/20 in 1 0. the upper right-hand corner? 3 Yeah, I don't think that's the current 4 one. 5 So I'm going to go ahead and mark this 0. as Exhibit 2. 6 7 (Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number 2 marked.) 8 9 BY MR. COPENHAVER: Would you be able to provide a current 10 CV to counsel so that it can be provided to us? 11 Α. Sure. 12 13 Ο. All right. Do you know -- off the top of your head, do you know what the substantive 14 15 changes are between the current version and the one we marked as Exhibit 2? 16 Traditionally, it's just relative to the 17 Α. number of fires. 18

- In this instance, you know, I'm chairman
- 20 of a local charity, things like that. Nothing
- 21 significant.
- 22 Q. Okay. I would like a current version,
- 23 so we will sort of preemptively mark the current
- 24 vision as Exhibit 3 or 12 or remain blank for now.
- 25 A. Yes.

a

1	(Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number
2	3 marked.)
3	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
4	Q. The next item and we'll obviously go
5	through your CV, but I just want to continue down
6	this list a little bit.
7	The next item requested was a list of
8	your testimony.
9	A. I believe that was provided.
10	Q. So I have up on the screen a document
11	dated May 31, 2022, entitled Court Testimony of
12	Robert G. Ryhal, which we will mark as Exhibit 4.
13	Is that an up-to-date list of your court
14	testimony?
15	A. Yes.
16	(Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number 4
17	marked.)
18	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
19	Q. Does this list all instances in which
20	you provided sworn testimony in the past?
21	A. I would like to say yes, but there's
22	instances, I believe, where, you know, I didn't
23	record it properly.
24	For example, I was in Warren County
25	Court and the judge advised me that I had actually

1 spoken as an expert in her courtroom prior and I didn't have it. 3 Okay. But other than, you know, Ο. inadvertent omissions like that, this is intended 4 5 to be comprehensive? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Can you tell me which of these are Ο. instances in which you testified as a retained 8 9 expert in a civil case? Are you looking at your own copy of this 10 or do you need me to scroll? 11 No. You're good where you're at. 12 I'm going to stop sharing that, unless 13 Ο. you're reading from mine. 14 15 Α. Can you scan up a bit? 16 Q. Sure. And scan up to the next page, please, 17 first page, okay, to the second page. 18 I think from 18 -- 18, 19, 20 onward 19 would generally be for various clients. 20 21 I'm not sure of 18. For some reason it's just not -- it's not coming to my forefront, 22 23 what that was about. But generally those would be the ones 24

that would be on behalf of an insurance client,

looks like it. 1 You think 18 -- more or less 18 through 3 29? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Do you believe that you have provided 0. testimony as an obtained expert in a civil case on 6 7 approximately 12 prior occasions? In 12 -- I mean, 22, I'm sorry, 8 Α. 9 that was a homicide trial, Freddie Robinson. was after I retired. But it was a criminal case 10 for the State Police. 11 Okay. So somewhere between 10 or 12 12 times you think, would that be a fair estimate, 13 that you testified in civil trials as a retained 14 15 expert? 16 Α. Yes. How many of those have been against an 17 Ο. insurance company in a subrogation action? 18 I would say all of them. 19 Α. So all of the instances in which you 20 Ο. 21 have testified as a retained expert in a civil matter have been on behalf of insurance companies 22 in subrogation actions in which they sought to 23 recover monies paid out pursuant to a policy. 2.4 Is that your understanding? 25

- 1 A. Generally, yes. I would say, yes,
- 2 that's correct.
- 3 Q. Have you ever testified for a defendant
- 4 in a civil litigation?
- 5 A. I don't believe so. Wait a minute. You
- 6 said a defendant?
- 7 Q. A defendant.
- 8 A. Let's go -- can you scroll up?
- 9 O. Tell me when to stop.
- 10 A. Stop, please. Please go down again and
- 11 stop.
- 12 I'm looking for a case that was in
- 13 Allegheny County Court. I thought it was -- there
- 14 you go, 23.
- 15 Q. Is that a case in which you testified on
- 16 behalf of the defendant?
- 17 A. Yeah, for defendant, Francis Kish
- 18 Construction.
- 19 O. And can you just generally describe the
- 20 circumstances of that case?
- 21 A. That had been my client had done some
- 22 work at a house. And I forget if they were
- 23 insulating or something to that effect. But they
- 24 were accused of, when they left, having left a
- 25 lightbulb on in insulation, something to that

1 effect. And what was your opinion in that case? 3 My opinion was that they -- they didn't have or collect the lightbulb they were alleging 4 5 was the cause of the fire. Why was it significant in your view that 6 0. they didn't collect the lightbulb that they had 7 said was the cause of the fire? 8 9 Α. It would have determined if the lightbulb was on or off. 10 And was it your opinion that in the 11 0. 12 absence of them collecting that lightbulb to assess its condition at the time of the fire, it 13 was inappropriate for them to have attributed the 14 15 cause of the fire to that lightbulb? 16 Α. I think that was the general discussion, 17 yes. Are there any other instances in which 18 Ο. you can think of where you were retained and 19 testified on behalf of a defendant in civil 20 21 litigation? Please rephrase your question. 22 23 Ο. Sure. Other than that one instance, the one Number 23, on Exhibit 4, can you think of any 2.4

other instances in which you were retained and

- 1 testified on behalf of the defendant in civil
- 2 litigation?
- 3 That may not have been a rephrasing, but
- 4 let me know if you don't understand it.
- 5 A. No, it was whether or not you stated it
- 6 was testimony.
- 7 Q. Got it.
- 8 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 9 O. Did any of these cases in which you
- 10 testified in civil litigation concern or relate to
- 11 lithium ion batteries?
- 12 A. I would have to say no.
- Q. What about more broadly speaking, are
- 14 any of the cases in which you've testified in any
- 15 capacity, have any of those related to lithium ion
- 16 batteries?
- 17 A. I believe no.
- Can you go back to that one more time?
- 19 O. Sure can.
- 20 And just for the record, I've pulled up
- 21 the Court Testimony list.
- 22 A. 16, I don't believe that had anything to
- 23 do with batteries. That was the one that caught
- 24 my eye as you flipped away.
- Q. Okay. If it has to do with batteries,

1	let me know
2	A. I don't believe so.
3	Q. Okay. The next item on the deposition
4	notice that was requested was number three. And
5	it requested your entire file relating to
6	captioned lawsuit filed by plaintiff.
7	Q. Yes. Documents, yes.
8	Q. Do you have an index of that? Or do you
9	have that in front of you and we can walk through
10	it and I can make sure that I have everything
11	that's in your file.
12	A. I don't have an index, but I have the
13	documents that were provided to me.
14	Q. Can you just list those list
15	everything that's in your file to make sure that I
16	have a copy of it, please?
17	A. So we have the transcripts of Sandra
18	Arthur and Robert Stiffler.
19	We have the motorist report by John
20	Henry and motorist file, which I believe includes
21	some of the adjustor work.
22	The purchase receipt of an Apple iPhone.
23	Can you hear me okay?
24	Q. I sure can.
25	A. I have an Allegheny County Emergency

- 1 Services Report. There are some newspaper
- 2 articles, Cresson Township Police Department
- 3 Report, some online stories about Apple iPhones.
- 4 And we have a record of investigations
- 5 into the iPhones from Apple. In addition to that,
- 6 I have obtained the photographs of John Henry, in
- 7 addition to his report.
- 8 The photographs of the Allegheny County
- 9 Fire Marshal. I think that's pretty much it.
- 10 Oh, and the medical records.
- 11 I don't have those in front of me. I
- 12 don't know if those were a PDF. There's a large
- 13 file of medical records.
- Q. All right. Online stories about Apple
- 15 iPhones. Did you find those or were those
- 16 provided to you?
- 17 A. Provided to me.
- 18 Q. By whom?
- 19 A. Counsel.
- 20 Q. For what purpose were those provided to
- 21 you?
- 22 A. Truthfully, it was never discussed why
- 23 he provided them to me. He provided them to me.
- 24 O. Are they of any significance to your
- 25 evaluation?

1 In this instance, no. I mean, only that people are reporting that they had a problem with 3 the iPhone. Do you know the circumstances under 4 Ο. which any of those, what you're calling problems, 5 6 arose? I can't -- I mean, I read the articles. Α. I can't recall what exactly the problems were. 8 Do you have the articles in front of 9 Ο. 10 you? The first one is a date stamp of 11 Α. 12 November 24th, '21. Apple investigating iPhone 6 exploding in California. I'm thinking the date's 13 gonna be on this one. 14 15 Man sues Apple for iPhone 6 battery explosion caused by alleged defect. 16 IPhone S6 explodes causing second degree 17 burns in user in Australia. 18 The lawsuits filed against Apple after 19 iPhone and Smart Watch overheat and catch fire. 20 21 That's it. When were those provided to you? 22 0. I think these came with the initial file 23 that included John Henry's report. 2.4 And I think with John Henry's report, I 25

- 1 would have had the Allegheny County Fire Marshal's
- 2 report.
- 3 Q. So when you were first provided a file
- 4 relative to your work on this case, counsel
- 5 provided you with articles dealing with alleged
- 6 battery thermal events for certain Apple products?
- 7 A. I can't say exactly when, but, yeah, I
- 8 believe it was early on.
- 9 Q. Okay. And what did that suggest to you
- 10 about anything?
- 11 A. Relative to my investigation, nothing.
- 12 Q. Were you asked specifically to
- 13 determine -- were you asked explicitly about the
- 14 iPhone, to investigate the iPhone when you were
- 15 retained in this case?
- 16 A. No. I was asked to look at the reports
- 17 and the information provided and provide my client
- 18 with my opinion.
- 19 O. Did counsel provide you with articles
- 20 about anything other than Apple products?
- 21 A. Well, the articles, I would assume, from
- 22 what counsel advised me, is that his client was
- 23 making the complaint that it was the phone.
- 24 O. So my question was, did counsel provide
- 25 you with articles about any product other than an

1 Apple product? Okay. No. 3 What's the model of iPhone that is Ο. alleged to have been involved in this incident? 4 5 IPhone 6+, I believe. Did any of those articles concern an 6 0. 7 iPhone 6+? I don't believe so. 8 9 So, again, other than indicating to you Ο. that certain people had reported alleged issues 10 with products other than the one at issue in this 11 lawsuit, did those articles have any significance 12 at all to your evaluation of this case? 13 14 Α. No. 15 0. Did you ask why they were provided to 16 you? Typically you'll get a bunch of 17 Α. extraneous material, whether it's relevant or not 18 is for my analysis to determine. 19 20 MR. COPENHAVER: All right. Counsel, I 21 assume there's no issue in providing those articles to us? 22 MR. SANTICOLA: Oh, no, no. 23 They're right off the internet, so they're public 24 knowledge. 25

1	MR. COPENHAVER: But you can provide
2	them so we know what he looked at?
3	MR. SANTICOLA: Sure.
4	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
5	Q. Did you do any of your own online
6	searches or investigation about iPhones?
7	A. No, I did not.
8	Q. One of the other things you mentioned is
9	a report of investigations into iPhones by Apple.
10	I want to make sure I understand what
11	you are referring to there.
12	Can you describe that for me with a
13	little greater detail?
14	MR. SANTICOLA: I believe that's what
15	you guys provided to us in discovery.
16	MR. COPENHAVER: That spreadsheet or
17	chart?
18	MR. SANTICOLA: Yes.
19	MR. COPENHAVER: Okay.
20	THE DEPONENT: I'm sorry. I thought you
21	were referring to the article the list of
22	articles.
23	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
24	Q. No, sir.
25	You had referred to it as a record of

investigation into iPhones and I wanted to make 1 sure I understood what you meant by that. 3 Α. Yes. Okay. Okay. Anything else in your file 4 Ο. 5 in front of you? No, I believe that's it. 6 Α. 7 Okay. The next thing on the notice that Q. you were requested to provide were any and all 8 9 documents and things you've reviewed, considered or relied upon in doing any of your work in this 10 lawsuit. 11 Is there anything that you have reviewed 12 or relied upon other than the materials that we 13 just discussed that are in your file? 14 15 Α. Communications with the client. Okay. Have you provided those? 16 Q. No, I have not. 17 Α. Do you have copies of those? 18 Ο. I believe I have e-mails communicating 19 Α. with the client. 20 21 Okay. So I'm gonna put a place hold in Q. here as Exhibit 5 for correspondence with counsel. 22 23 Α. Okay. (Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number 5 24 25 marked.)

1 BY MR. COPENHAVER: 2 Could I ask you to please collect and 3 send to counsel copies of that correspondence? I believe that my client would have 4 5 them. 6 I'm sure that he would but I need it Ο. 7 from you. 8 Α. Okay. 9 All right. Have you communicated with anyone other than plaintiff's counsel relating to 10 your work in this case? 11 Yes. I had a conversation with -- I 12 believe the Fire Marshal I spoke to briefly 13 asking -- I think I made an inquiry for photos. 14 And he was on the fire scene. I believe 15 I asked him about the photos for his 16 investigation. I have the report. I didn't have 17 18 the photos. 19 Did you have any -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. 20 21 Donnie Brucker, the Chief Deputy Fire Marshal, told me that I wouldn't get the photos, 22 but they subsequently came back in the mail from 23 2.4 my request. I may be confusing another file, too, 25

1	Counsel.
2	I sent my wife down to Allegheny County
3	to pick up pictures. That could be this file.
4	I'm not sure.
5	Anyway, that is what that was limited
6	to.
7	I did speak with John Henry.
8	Q. Let me first exhaust the Fire Marshal
9	conversation you may have had.
10	Did you have any substantive
11	conversations with anybody from the Fire Marshal's
12	office regarding anything other than just whether
13	or not photographs were available?
14	A. The Fire Marshal I just simply asked
15	I think there was a general question what was
16	what had occurred, what was going on and I said
17	that there was a lawsuit concerning Apple.
18	Q. And what, if anything, did the Fire
19	Marshal's office say?
20	A. I limited the conversation to that.
21	Q. Did they say anything about Apple or an
22	iPhone or any other product?
23	A. No, it was limited to what I said it was
24	limited to.
25	Q. Okay. Do you have any correspondence in

the Fire Marshal's case related to this case? 1 Generally you produce a letter in order 3 to get the photographs and checks to pay for obtaining the images. 4 5 Ο. Is that something that you could provide 6 counsel? Yeah, I should be able to. 7 Α. MR. COPENHAVER: We're gonna mark those 8 as Exhibit 6 to be received. 9 (Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number 6 10 marked.) 11 12 BY MR. COPENHAVER: 13 Okay. And you also mentioned that you 14 spoke with Mr. Henry? 15 Α. Correct. 16 When did you speak with Mr. Henry? Q. I spoke with Mr. Henry sometime after 17 Α. receiving his report. I would have to look that 18 I might have a text where I asked John to 19 call me, where I texted him. 20 21 Ο. So tell me about -- you said that was before you completed your report? 22 23 Α. Correct. All right. Tell me what you can 24 Ο. recall -- any conversation you had with 25

Mr. Henry -- well, first of all, how many times 1 did you speak with him about this case? 3 I would say no more than two times. All right. Let's focus on the first 4 Ο. 5 time. 6 Do you know how long before you 7 completed your report that first conversation happened? 8 9 I'd have to look it up. I think -- I Α. would say it's fair to say that it's after I 10 received his report, because I was looking for 11 photographs. 12 13 All right. Tell me what you can recall 14 about that conversation. 15 Α. The gist of the conversation was about the photographs, and I read his report. 16 He had made the comments, I think, about 17 Mr. Stiffler and his drug and alcohol and a lot of 18 smoking going on, that sort of thing. 19 20 And I think the only thing I said to him 21 was, well, I think there's a lot more to it than just that and you never really got a chance to 22 23 talk to the guy. And I left it at that. 24

Have you talked to the guy?

25

Q.

1	A. No.
2	Q. And what did Mr. Henry say in response
3	to all of that?
4	A. He generously provided me with he
5	checked with his client, motorist, to see if they
6	would since I had the report, allow me to have
7	the photos and they agreed and acquiesced and sent
8	me, I think, the latest scene photos.
9	Q. Do you still have those photos?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. I'm not sure we do.
12	Is that something you can provide?
13	A. Yeah, they are in the report. They're
14	labeled.
15	Q. Are those the entirety of those photos?
16	A. No. No. No, I assumed that you guys
17	had them.
18	Q. Okay. You can provide that?
19	A. Sure. You can accept a link, right, to
20	download?
21	Q. I'm sure that we can and if not, we'll
22	deal with it.
23	But let's try that to begin with. We'll
24	mark those as Exhibit 7.
25	(Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number 7

1 marked.) BY MR. COPENHAVER: 3 Did Mr. Henry have any response to you saying he thought -- you thought there was a lot 4 more going on than Mr. Stiffler's history with 5 drugs and alcohol and the evidence of smoking in 6 7 the house? He did at one point. I don't know if 8 Α. 9 it's the first or second conversation. I actually kept my conversation with him 10 very short, because I advised him, you know, John, 11 you realize you could, you know, get entangled in 12 13 So I really don't want to discuss much with 14 you. 15 But after reviewing his report, he made the comment that he -- I don't know if you know, 16 John and I were former business partners. 17 I saw that. Ο. 18 And John was just coming out of the 19 Α. insurance industry, so I'm not gonna say I was 20 21 mentoring him, but I was, you know, trying to work with the reports. 22 And he stated that he wouldn't -- he 23 wouldn't write that report today. He shouldn't 24 have written it as he did. 25

1	Q. Why did he say that?
2	A. Well, I just think to the general
3	content, there is a great potential for
4	informational bias in his report, which he got
5	from Karelitz, I think it is. That's the
6	Allegheny County Fire Investigator.
7	Q. There's a great potential for
8	information what did you say?
9	A. That's my comment.
10	Q. No, I didn't catch it all.
11	A. I'm just saying, there was he
12	received all of his information from the Fire
13	Marshal, didn't do any interviews and produced his
14	report.
15	Q. He did an inspection, didn't he?
16	A. You want my opinion, did he do an
17	inspection? I can't testify to what he did.
18	I can only testify to what the photos
19	show and
20	Q. Is it your understanding
21	A. There's a lot of cigarette photos.
22	Q. Is it your understanding that he did an
23	inspection of the home?
24	A. Yes. He was present. He took
25	photographs. He produced the report.

So it's your testimony that he told you

- he wouldn't write that report the way that he did, 3 because he received all his information from the Fire Marshal? 4 5 You added the last line. Α. Okay. My question to you is, why did he 6 Ο. 7 tell you, if he said, why he wouldn't write that report today? 8 9 I think the general -- it was a short conversation. I don't have the time of it. 10 it was relative to, you didn't interview anyone. 11 12 There's more to the story. 13 Ο. Okay.

0.

- 14 And that was his response. I think
- 15 after he re-read his report, I think he -- my
- opinion is he realized he may have walked out onto 16
- a limb that he didn't want to be on. 17
- Well, I'm less interested in your 18 Ο.
- opinion about it and more interested in what he 19
- told you about it. 20
- 21 Did he say that?
- Say what? 22
- That he -- that he walked out onto a 23
- limb that he didn't want to be on, in sum and 2.4
- 25 substance?

1	A. No.
2	Q. Okay. Did he tell you that he would
3	have changed his conclusion in the report?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Did he tell you that he would have
6	blamed the iPhone?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did he tell you he would have blamed
9	anything other than smoking, which is what he
10	blamed in the report?
11	A. He didn't refer to his conclusion.
12	Q. Did he tell you he would have altered
13	his conclusion?
14	A. He simply told me he would not have
15	written that report as he did.
16	Q. Did he tell you he would have changed or
17	altered his conclusion?
18	A. He told me he would not all he would
19	not have written his report as he did.
20	Q. I understand that and that can mean a
21	lot of different things.
22	So I'm asking you a very specific
23	question.
24	Did he tell you that he would alter or
25	change his conclusion?

1	A. No.
2	Q. Does that, what we just talked about,
3	cover your second conversation as well? Because
4	it sounds like there may have been some overlap
5	between the two.
6	A. Yeah, it was limited. I'd have to
7	refer there's a few texts, but it was very
8	limited.
9	Q. Okay. Do you still have those text
10	messages?
11	A. I may. I'll look for them.
12	Q. And you could provide those to counsel?
13	A. Sure.
14	MR. COPENHAVER: All right. We're gonna
15	mark those as Exhibit 8.
16	(Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number 8
17	marked.)
18	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
19	Q. Do you have any correspondence with
20	Mr. Henry other than your text messages?
21	A. No. Well, I would in other files, but
22	not this file.
23	Q. Fair enough.
24	So relative to the Stiffler case, this
25	particular fire, are your communications with

1 Mr. Henry limited to those texts? And the phone calls, yes. 3 Ο. Right. 4 I assume those weren't recorded and you can't provide those? 5 6 Α. No. 7 Okay. So other than obviously counsel, Q. people with the Fire Marshal's office and 8 9 Mr. Henry, have you spoken to anyone else about this case? 10 Α. Well, I -- you said anyone else, 11 No. 12 correct? 13 Ο. Correct. I did speak with my son, he's a 14 15 physician, relative to the medical records and the drug screen, and I believe there was a presence of 16 methadone or some kind of drug, as to the 17 mechanics of how that occurs. 18 And he basically told me that absent a 19 time stamp, that those results could come from 20 21 treatment. They're sort of anticipated. Did that have any significance to your 22 23 opinions in this case? Well, it just goes to looking at the 2.4 degree -- what is the physical state of Robert 25

1	Stiffler when he gets to the hospital.
2	Q. And your understanding is Mr. Stiffler
3	tested positive for opiates and benzos shortly
4	after the fire?
5	A. After the drug screen at the hospital,
6	yes.
7	Q. Is it your understanding that he tested
8	positive for methamphetamine as well?
9	A. That's what I was referring to, yes.
10	Q. And so tell me again what well, the
11	fact that Mr. Stiffler tested positive for
12	methamphetamines, opiates and benzos during his
13	drug screen the morning after the fire, what
14	significance, if any, that had on your
15	investigation of this case?
16	A. Well, the analysis was relative to what
17	was his if any, what was his degree of
18	intoxication or influence of drugs or alcohol at
19	the time prior to him arriving at the hospital.
20	Q. And do you know what his level of
21	intoxication on methamphetamines, opiates or
22	benzos was at the time of the fire?
23	A. Well, based on what I just previously
24	said from the inquiry I made, it was inconclusive.
25	The only thing, I recall there being

- 1 he had a blood alcohol -- a lower level of blood alcohol. 3 So he had been drinking. He had been drinking. He tested 4 positive for methamphetamine, opiates and benzos, 5 but his level of intoxication at the time of the 6 7 fire is inconclusive based on the records you've reviewed, is that correct? 8 9 Α. Correct. And if Mr. Stiffler had been under the 10 Ο. influence of and impaired by drugs and alcohol at 11 the time of the fire, how would that affect your 12 13 analysis? Well, as an investigator, it's important 14 15 to analyze that and review it against the statements of the individual relative to the 16 accuracy, the dependability of the statement. 17 And if the fact that Mr. Stiffler was 18 Ο. under the influence of methamphetamines, opiates, 19 benzos and/or alcohol, would that affect the 20
 - In cases of extreme intoxication, yes.
 - Yeah, I would strongly consider that. 23

21

22

And do you know whether or not he had 2.4

credibility of the statements he provided?

that level of intoxication at the time of the 2.5

1 fire? Α. From the record that I could see, no, he 3 didn't. He had some alcohol in his blood. 4 don't want to say it's .04, but it wasn't anything 5 6 that concerned me. 7 What about his level -- what about the Q. level of drugs in his system? 8 9 Well, he has regular medications that he takes. So, I mean, I'm not a medical 10 professional. I won't speak to exactly what the 11 12 results are. 13 But relative to the methamphetamines, in the course of his treatment, that drug panel, it 14 15 was explained to me, would show up positive. So without any degree of -- or level of 16 blood -- blood level that would be determined in 17 the screening, it's -- doesn't serve me any 18 19 purpose. Okay. So the most you can say is that 20 Q. 21 he tested positive for methamphetamine, opiates, and benzos, but you can't say whether or not he 22 was impaired to the extent that would affect his 23 credibility in reporting facts on the night of the 24 incident, correct?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. The next thing that is asked in the
3	notice are copies of any peer reviewed or other
4	articles that have authored or co-authored
5	pertinent or supporting your opinions in this
6	lawsuit.
7	Do you have any of those?
8	A. I would say no.
9	Q. Okay. Number 14 asks for any articles
10	of any nature that you have reviewed or relied
11	upon in connection with your opinions in this
12	lawsuit.
13	Other than the internet articles that
14	you referenced earlier, and that you're gonna
15	provide to us, do you have any other articles of
16	any nature that you've reviewed or relied upon in
17	connection with your opinions?
18	A. No, I don't believe so.
19	Q. All right. I don't think we I'm
20	gonna mark as Exhibit 9 those iPhone articles that
21	were provided to you.
22	(Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number 9
23	marked.)
24	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
25	Q. Number 15 asks for your time and billing

records for your work or work performed by anyone 1 under your direction and control relative to this 3 lawsuit. Can you provide those? 4 5 I'm a bit behind, but after this Α. 6 deposition, I'll provide. 7 MR. COPENHAVER: We'll mark those as Exhibit 10. 8 9 (Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number 10 marked.) 10 BY MR. COPENHAVER: 11 12 How much do you charge for your time? 150 an hour. 13 14 And approximately how many hours have 15 you spent on this file? I want to say that with the report, 16 Α. probably around 40. 17 You said Exhibit 10, correct, Counsel? 18 O. Exhibit 10. 19 20 Α. Okay. 21 Other than you, is there anyone under 0. your direction or control who also worked in this 22 23 case? The only one that would be included is 2.4

if I sent my wife down to get the photographs we

talked about. 1 Ο. Okay. 3 Pick up records, things like that. Α. The last category of documents are any 4 and all documents relied upon in preparation of 5 your report not previously produced in discovery. 6 7 Is there any other document that you've relied upon in formulating your opinions or in 8 9 preparing your report other than what we have already identified and discussed? 10 Α. No. 11 Counsel, your first name is? 12 13 Ο. Steve. Steve, what was it, Exhibit 9 was the --14 Α. Exhibit 9 were the iPhone articles that 15 Ο. 16 were provided to you. Α. Thank you. 17 Ο. All right. So now that that's out of 18 the way, let's talk a little bit about you. 19 20 Can you give me your educational 21 background, please? I attended Penn State and studied 22 architecture, that involved design of buildings, 23 structural analysis, system design, fire 24 protection material analysis and use in building. 25

1	And then went and I worked in the
2	telephone with a telephone company for a year
3	and a half, two years prior to finally going into
4	the State Police.
5	In the State Police, of course, I went
6	to the State Police Academy for six months and
7	then graduated.
8	I served 10 years on the road in patrol
9	duties, which included I got involved in
10	accident reconstruction, which would be the
11	mathematical analysis of accidents.
12	I spent about two-and-a-half years in
13	criminal investigation, involving everything from
14	homicides down to thefts.
15	And then I began serving as that time
16	period as an alternate for the Fire Marshal's
17	Division. And then entered the Fire Marshal's
18	Division and spent the last approximately
19	12-and-a-half, 13 years of my career doing Fire
20	Marshal work.
21	Upon my retirement, I started Ryhal
22	Associates and began investigating as a private
23	investigator.
24	Relative to the education in the Fire
25	Marshal Division, I've been to the State Fire

1	Academy. I became an instructor. I developed a		
2	curriculum.		
3	There was never a formal book. We		
4	produced a book back in the day. And then I was		
5	an educator.		
6	I also got involved with the		
7	Pennsylvania Association of Arson Investigators.		
8	Eventually became president. Went through the		
9	various chairs, including chairman of the training		
10	committee. I was responsible for putting the		
11	training on and also at the National Fire Academy,		
12	got involved in instruction there and taught there		
13	for several years.		
14	And I also developed a relationship with		
15	Dan Madrokowski as they were trying to develop a		
16	program for the National Academy on Fire Modeling,		
17	which involves, so to speak, as a guinea pig, I		
18	and another Fire Marshal in developing the fire		
19	Dynamics Program for the National Fire Academy.		
20	Q. All right. So let's start at the		
21	beginning.		
22	What years did you attend Penn State?		
23	A. '76 to '80.		
24	Q. Did you obtain a degree?		
25	A. No. I think I'm two two classes shy		

1 of a degree. Just out of curiosity, why did you leave 3 two classes early? Well, it -- I did take some classes, 4 Α. 5 probably my resume doesn't read quite right. I had classes after that. I wasn't up to my 6 7 credits for a couple years. But marriage, family, children. I had two electives left. 8 9 And at the time, the four-year program was in a Bachelor's Degree in Design. So those 10 credits would require me to have a project, so to 11 speak, like, you know, I bought six of those 12 credits when I designed my house and built it. 13 So life got busy and I had to make a 14 15 decision. Understood. 16 Q. What telephone company did you work for 17 immediately after Penn State? 18 It was Pymatuning Telephone Company. 19 Α. What did you do for them? 20 Ο. 21 I was basically a laborer, help string lines, install telephones, dig ditches, wherever 22 23 they needed me. Okay. You've never had any experience 2.4 Ο.

designing or manufacturing actual phones, correct?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And that includes phone batteries?
3	A. Correct. They were just getting the
4	push button phones back then.
5	Q. Fair enough.
б	For the State Police work, what year did
7	you begin serving as an alternate for the Fire
8	Marshal Division?
9	A. It's in my resume. I want to say that
10	that was 2002.
11	Q. Help me understand
12	A. I'm sorry. 1992.
13	Q. '92.
14	And help me understand that transition.
15	Why did you want to seek that out? What
16	did that involve?
17	A. Well, from day one in the State Police,
18	I realized the value of forensics. You know, I
19	was a patrolman that would try to take latent
20	prints off of doorknobs or, you know, whatever the
21	case would be, power traps.
22	I got involved in my investigations. So
23	I loved forensics. And the fire and the
24	architecture, together with my investigative skill
25	sets, I believe, were a perfect fit.

1	Q. I mean, you spent approximately 12 or 13
2	years working for the Fire Marshal's Division, if
3	I understood that correctly?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Okay. Were you a fire investigator?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. How many people were in that division?
8	A. In the state? I would say there were
9	probably 50 or 60 full-timers.
10	Q. During your work for the Fire Marshal
11	Division, did you ever investigate a fire that was
12	determined to have been caused by a lithium ion
13	battery?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Have you ever investigated a fire, other
16	than this one, that you've determined to have been
17	caused by a lithium ion battery?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. How many times?
20	A. I would say it's probably less than six.
21	Doesn't necessarily mean a lithium ion battery was
22	determined to be the cause.
23	Q. Help me understand what you mean by
24	that.
25	A. Well, as a final conclusion whether or

- 1 not the lithium ion battery -- and I'm kind of
- 2 guessing here. But I currently only have one
- 3 other file that I'm working on that actually has a
- 4 lithium ion batteries.
- 5 There was a file about a year ago where
- 6 it was failure of a lithium ion battery, but the
- 7 degree of damage was so significant to the battery
- 8 itself that the client didn't decide to go on with
- 9 it.
- 10 I had an E-bike fire with lithium ion
- 11 batteries. Again, the client decided not to go on
- 12 with an investigation to determine it.
- 13 Q. Let me ask you this.
- 14 Have you ever offered the opinion on a
- 15 more-probably-than-not basis that a lithium ion
- 16 battery was the cause of the fire that you were
- 17 investigating?
- 18 A. I would have in those two last instances
- 19 I referred to based on the physical damage and the
- 20 localized damage to the batteries, but I wasn't
- 21 asked to provide an opinion.
- 22 Q. And it was based upon your inspection of
- 23 the batteries themselves that caused you to offer
- 24 that opinion or at least you would have been it
- 25 provided you had been provided that opportunity?

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	There's one with an E-bike.
3		What was the first one?
4	Α.	What was that? It was the remote
5	control c	ars.
6	A.	Oh, and I also have another battery
7	failure w	ith their the cell batteries for air
8	guns, the	paintball guns.
9	Q.	Is that a case in which you've
10	testified	?
11	A.	I don't think I've given a deposition.
12	That's no	t on my list yet.
13	Q.	It's an active file?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	You mentioned at one point you had
16	developed	some curriculum relative to or related
17	to your w	ork as a fire investigator.
18		Do you recall that?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	What curriculum did you develop?
21	Α.	The basic fire investigation course for
22	the State	Fire Academy.
23	Q.	Do you have any documents related to
24	that curr	iculum in your possession?
25	А.	I would have to dig through the books.
I		

1 I might be able to find it, the manual that we produced. 3 This was the early days of computer, let's put it that way. 4 5 Ο. Fair enough. 6 I'm gonna ask you to look for that and 7 provide it if you're able to find it. And I'm going to mark that Exhibit 11, pending its 8 9 location. 10 (Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number 11 marked.) 11 12 BY MR. COPENHAVER: Do you hold any -- well, let me ask you 13 14 about Ryhal and Associates. 15 When did you start that? 2008. 16 Α. How many employees are there at Ryhal 17 and Associates? 18 I currently have one investigator, 19 certified investigator; two assistants. One's 20 21 sort of seeking a retirement mode in Florida. And then I have a girl that does office 22 administration, billing, that sort of thing, 23 24 payroll. Q. Are you the principal and sole owner of 25

- 1 Ryhal and Associates? Α. Correct. 3 Other than testifying in fire cases, Ο. does Ryhal and Associates do anything else? 4 5 Not too often. I mean, I have a private detective license, so I've done some private 6 7 detective work, but my fire caseload keeps me 8 pretty busy. 9 I did do -- an engineer was retiring, I did do an accident reconstruction in Pittsburgh 10
- 12 Q. On a percentage basis, how much of Ryhal
- 13 and Associates' work is related to fire

for a fatal traffic accident.

14 investigation?

- 15 A. Currently I would say 99 percent.
- Q. And of those, is it all civil?
- 17 A. I have -- on a couple of occasions, the
- 18 State Police have asked me to testify relative to
- 19 a criminal prosecution.
- 20 Q. How much of your fire investigation work
- 21 for Ryhal and Associates is criminal in nature?
- 22 A. Are you asking the percentage of
- 23 incendiary fires?
- 24 O. So my question is, how much is your work
- 25 on behalf of -- or in the context of criminal

1 investigation as opposed to a civil lawsuit? 2 We're talking less than half a percent. 3 I mean, there's only been a couple of occasions. I can only think of one right now where 4 5 I was actually the private investigator in that 6 file. And of the civil work -- it sounds like 7 Ο. that's the predominant type of work performed by 8 9 your company. How much of that is on behalf of a 10 plaintiff, either a personal injury plaintiff or 11 12 an insurance plaintiff? 13 It's probably somewhere in the 14 70 percent range, maybe 80. 15 There's still 20 to 30 percent that is on behalf of defendants. Can you help me 16 understand why? 17 I think we identified only one instance 18 in which you've provided testimony on behalf of a 19 20 defendant. 21 The files resolved, the files go away. For the work that you have done on 22 behalf of plaintiffs, how much is that on behalf 23

of insurance companies in subrogation cases as

opposed to personal injury plaintiffs?

2.4

1	Α. 7	Versus personal injury claims?
2	Q.	Correct.
3	A. 9	95 percent.
4	Q. 9	95 percent subro work?
5	Α.	Yeah. There's not too much in the way
6	of personal	l injury.
7	Q. I	Have you ever testified on behalf of a
8	personal in	njury plaintiff?
9	Α.	I can't think of any.
10	Q. (Okay. Have you ever done any work with
11	the Santico	ola firm?
12	A. 1	No.
13	Q. I	Do you do any teaching?
14	Α.	I did. I haven't since I started my
15	private bus	siness. But I taught at the National
16	Fire Acader	my, the State Fire Academy.
17	:	I taught locally at the community
18	colleges.	Currently, no.
19	Q. <i>I</i>	And that's in the last 14 or so years?
20	Α	There may be a few instances where I
21	taught afte	er retirement, but I would say generally
22	no.	
23	Q.	Okay. Have you ever published anything
24	on fire inv	vestigation?
25	A. 1	No.

1	Q. Okay. Do you currently hold any
2	licenses or certifications other than a private
3	investigator license?
4	A. Well, my certification for fire
5	investigation was back to the National
6	Professional Qualifications System when I was in
7	the State Police.
8	This certification as a fire
9	investigator. This is a certification as an
10	instructor.
11	Q. Are those things that are time limited
12	or lapse or are those active today?
13	A. I don't believe they are time limited.
14	Q. Are there any continuing ed requirements
15	to maintain those?
16	A. I'm not aware.
17	Q. Have you ever performed any type of
18	forensic testing on cell phones?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Have you ever performed any type of
21	forensic testing on phone batteries?
22	A. You're saying me personally, not being
23	present
24	Q. I'm asking you personally.
25	A. No.

1	Q. I bet you can guess what my follow-up
2	question is going to be.
3	Have you ever been present while anyone
4	else has performed any type of forensic testing on
5	phones or their batteries?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. How many times?
8	A. It would be a wild guess, but I would
9	say a dozen would be fair.
10	Q. Can you give me the context?
11	A. Generally it'd just be a battery that
12	a lithium ion battery generally that is in the
13	area of origin that's examined as a matter of a
14	forensic examination allowed.
15	Q. Got it.
16	So this would be a post incident lithium
17	ion battery that was found in either the room or
18	area of origin that underwent sort of typical
19	artifact examination after recovery from a scene,
20	is that correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Would it have included anything other
23	than visual, photographic or radiographic
24	examination of the device?
25	A. I would say it would be limited to that.

1	Q. What's a live burn exercise?			
2	A. So generally we would build cells, small			
3	room, you would arrange the furniture, electronics			
4	if you were gonna demonstrate arc mapping and then			
5	set the fire, document it. And then have the			
6	investigators come in afterwards and investigate			
7	it.			
8	Q. Have you ever done any experiments or			
9	live burn exercises involving cell phones?			
10	A. No.			
11	Q. Have you ever done any experiments or			
12	live burn exercises regarding cell phone lithium			
13	ion batteries?			
14	A. No.			
15	Q. What about any other kind of lithium ion			
16	batteries?			
17	A. No.			
18	Q. What's a battery thermal event?			
19	A. So a battery's thermal event is a			
20	failure of the battery. It's a chemical reaction			
21	where the battery heats up because all the			
22	fuels my understanding, all the fuels are			
23	present that results in a battery failure.			
24	Q. So one more time. What's your			
25	understanding of how a battery thermal event			

1 actually happens? I don't understand -- I couldn't state 3 the chemical reaction, but I believe it's a chemical reaction. 4 5 Ο. And what precipitates the chemical 6 reaction? 7 Α. A failure -- an internal failure within the membrane of the cells of the battery. 8 9 What type of failure? Ο. I think that the insulating material 10 Α. becomes compromised in some way. 11 12 Q. And what effect does that have? Well, the effect of would be that the 13 battery produces enough energy to ignite the 14 15 combustible materials. 16 Q. Well, so that's the battery thermal event. 17 I'm more interested in what's going on 18 inside of a battery, to your understanding, that 19 would cause that to happen? 20 21 I would say I'd stay in my lane and say I'm gonna limit it to what I just gave you. 22 23 Ο. To what?

to what I told you, that it's a chemical reaction

24

25

Stay in my lane and I'm gonna limit it

of the battery due to a fail -- internal failure. 1 And beyond that, you lack the ability to 3 dig down any greater detail and provide greater detail as to the actual mechanism of the battery 4 thermal event beyond what you just provided? 5 6 Correct. Α. 7 Can external damage to a battery cause a 0. battery thermal event? 8 9 I would believe that could be a 10 contributing factor, yes. Can exposure to external heat sources 11 0. cause a battery thermal event? 12 I believe that could be possible, yes. 13 Are there other things that you're aware 14 15 of that can cause a battery thermal event? The introduction of water. 16 Α. Okay. Anything else? 17 Q. Overcharging. 18 Α. 19 Anything else that --Ο. That would be a failure of the battery's 20 Α. 21 ability to maintain its voltage. Anything else that you're aware of? 22 Ο. I think we covered it. 23 Α. Okay. Have you reviewed any literature 2.4 Ο.

that you can cite to me on the cause of or

1	mechanism of battery thermal events involving		
2	lithium ion battery?		
3	A. Other than in this instance I used the		
4	information provided by Apple that it's possible.		
5	Q. And the information provided by Apple		
6	were the instances in which that we talked		
7	about earlier in which consumers on that on		
8	that chart reported instances of claimed battery		
9	thermal events on their products?		
10	A. Correct.		
11	Q. Anything beyond that?		
12	A. No.		
13	Q. Have you ever caused a cell phone to go		
14	thermal?		
15	A. No.		
16	Q. Have you ever inspected an iPhone 6S or		
17	a 6S Plus?		
18	A. No.		
19	Q. Have you ever tested an iPhone 6S Plus?		
20	A. No.		
21	Q. Have you ever take apart and looked at		
22	the components of an iPhone 6S Plus?		
23	A. No.		
24	Q. Have you ever reviewed any documents		
25	concerning the design off an Apple iPhone 6+?		

1	A. No.	
2	Q. Do you know whether or not the iPhon	e 6+
3	is certified for compliance with any industry	or
4	safety standards?	
5	A. The information provided in	
6	Mr. Hoffman's expert report makes several	
7	references to standards that they comply with.	
8	Q. Do you know what those standards are	?
9	A. I couldn't cite them to you, no.	
10	Q. Do you know what they require?	
11	A. No.	
12	Q. Do you know what design features the	
13	iPhone 6S Plus has to protect against battery	
14	thermal events?	
15	A. The specifics, no.	
16	Q. Have you reviewed any testing	
17	documents or I'm sorry, certification docum	ents
18	for the iPhone 6S Plus?	
19	A. No.	
20	Q. Have you reviewed any testing docume	nts
21	regarding testing of an iPhone 6S Plus devices	
22	performed by anyone other than yourself?	
23	A. No.	
24	Q. Can you describe for me the type of	
25	battery that's in an iPhone 6S Plus?	

_		
1	Α.	Not familiar with it.
2	Q.	Do you know what it's made out of?
3	A.	I believe it's a book of business.
4	Q.	But beyond that?
5	Α.	I do not.
6	Q.	Do you know the form of lithium inside
7	the battery?	
8	Α.	I do not.
9	Q.	Do you know the construction of the
10	battery i	tself?
11	Α.	I do not.
12	Q.	Do you know how many cells are in the
13	lithium i	on battery inside an 6S Plus?
14	Α.	I do not.
15	Q.	Okay. All right. I told you we're
16	going to	take a break on the hour. That's
17	apparentl	y a lie. It's now 20 after.
18		Are we good for five minutes?
19		MR. SANTICOLA: Sure.
20		(Recess - 11:21 a.m 11:29 a.m.)
21	BY MR. COPENHAVER:	
22	Q.	All right. Mr. Ryhal, we're back from a
23	quick break.	
24		Are you okay to continue?
25	А.	Yes.

1	Q. When were you retained in this case?		
2	A. I think I was actually retained in 2021.		
3	Q. And as part of your file, you have the		
4	report from Mr. Henry, from the Recon Group. You		
5	mentioned you and Mr. Henry had a prior business		
6	relationship or professional relationship of some		
7	sort?		
8	A. Correct.		
9	Q. What is that?		
10	A. When John decided to come out of the		
11	insurance industry and called me and wanted me to		
12	lead the fire investigations and so I agreed to		
13	it.		
14	And then we worked together about a year		
15	and a half and our business styles and let's		
16	just say our business plans didn't agree with each		
17	other.		
18	Q. Did you work for Recon Group?		
19	A. Yeah. I was vice-president of fire		
20	investigations. Whatever it was.		
21	Q. And what was Mr. Henry's role?		
22	A. Well, he was the president. And at the		
23	time, he was leading basically conducting the		
24	private detective work.		
25	So he had a lot of clients, for example,		

- 1 in the coal industry in West Virginia and he would
- 2 do background investigations and that sort of
- 3 thing.
- 4 O. And he is also a certified fire
- 5 investigator?
- 6 A. Yes. He got his certification, yes.
- 7 Q. Do you believe him to be a competent
- 8 fire investigator?
- 9 A. Yeah, John's a good investigator.
- 10 Q. Okay. His report is dated August 15,
- 11 2018, is that right?
- 12 A. Forgive me for a second. I was trying
- 13 to get my glasses to go from sunlight to office.
- 14 Q. Fair enough.
- 15 A. August 15, 2018, correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. And you understand that Mr. Henry
- 17 conducted an origin of cause investigation for
- 18 this fire on behalf of the Stifflers' insurance
- 19 company?
- 20 A. Motorist group, yep.
- 21 Q. And that was to identify whether or not
- 22 there could be a potential subrogation action to
- 23 recoupe some of the monies that the company paid
- 24 out pursuant to the policy, right?
- 25 A. Correct. Or an intentionally set fire.

1 I mean, that's always part of the equation. not simply subrogation. 3 Ο. Sure. It's to determine whether or not they 4 5 need to pay out money; and if so, whether they can 6 recoupe it from an at-fault entity, right? 7 Α. Correct. Okay. What was the date of the fire? 8 9 Α. The date of the loss, I thought, was August 15th, was it? No. 10 No. No. What was it? August 15th is the date of his report. 11 Q. Α. July 16th. 12 13 Okay. And Mr. Henry's origin and cause 14 of the investigation was conducted shortly after 15 that fire? I believe the following day. 16 Α. As part of that investigation, he 17 0. conducted an inspection of the scene I think we 18 discussed, right? 19 Correct. 20 Α. 21 And he took some photographs, correct? Q. 22 Α. Correct.

No. I don't believe he did.

23

24

25

Ο.

Α.

Q.

And he talked with the Stifflers, right?

Okay. It's your understanding that he

1	didn't perform any interviews with the insureds?	
2	A. I'm referring to the report.	
3	Q. Sure.	
4	I'm gonna refer you to the second page	
5	of the report, the second full paragraph, where he	
6	says, based upon my interviews with the insureds.	
7	A. Correct.	
8	Q. So he interviewed the Stifflers?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	MR. SANTICOLA: I'm sorry to interrupt	
11	you, Steve.	
12	Just before you're talking about the	
13	Stifflers, Mr. and Mrs. Stiffler, not all of them?	
14	MR. COPENHAVER: The insureds.	
15	MR. SANTICOLA: Yeah, I gotcha. Okay.	
16	BY MR. COPENHAVER:	
17	Q. Okay. So he interviewed the Stifflers,	
18	the insureds, correct?	
19	A. Correct.	
20	Q. And conducted a scene examination of the	
21	incident scene?	
22	A. Correct.	
23	Q. Neither of which are things that you	
24	did, correct?	
25	A. Correct.	

1 Ο. All right. And Mr. Henry found ample evidence of careless smoking in various rooms of 3 the structure, correct? 4 Α. In one room of the structure, yes, he 5 did. 6 Well, I'm reading from his report. Ο. 7 Ample evidence of careless smoking in various rooms of the structure, correct? 8 9 Α. That's what his report says. That's not my analysis of what he reported, what I saw in the 10 photographs. 11 Q. He was there at the scene, you were not, 12 13 correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. All right. And what he reports is that he found, based upon his examination of the scene 16 at which you were not present, he found ample 17 evidence of careless smoking in various rooms of 18 19 the structure, correct? That's what he reports, correct. 20 Α. 21 Okay. He also determined that Ο. Mr. Stiffler's bedroom underwent flashover during 22 the fire, correct? 23 2.4 Α. Correct. 25 0. What's a flashover?

- Flashover is when basically all of the 1 components of the room ignite and were decomposed 3 from the heat of the fire. Okay. And based upon the condition of 4 5 the room, Mr. Henry was unable to identify a particular point of origin within the room, 6 7 correct? That's his conclusion, yes. 8 Α. 9 Ο. And does flashover affect the ability to identify a particular point of origin? 10 It can, yes. Α. 11 And it can because it can cover up or 12 13 otherwise change fire patterns that were present 14 prior to flashover? 15 It can obliterate patterns and it
- 18 Q. In addition to the sunroom, Mr. Henry

involves the introduction of air entrainment to

- 19 found cigarette butts in the kitchen garbage,
- 20 correct?

16

17

- 21 A. Yes. Appeared -- well, he did find
- 22 them -- I believe his pictures do show that.
- 23 You're correct.

alter the patterns.

- 24 O. And he found cigarette butts on the
- 25 floor in the basement, correct?

1 Α. He found a couple, yep. 2 All right. Is that consistent in your 3 view with responsible disposal of smoking materials? 4 5 I believe the manner that the Stifflers Α. were disposing of their cigarette butts was not 6 7 safe. Okay. Is there -- when you say not 8 Ο. 9 safe, is there a risk associated with careless disposal of smoking materials, cigarette butts? 10 They can, if not probably Α. Yes. 11 12 extinguished, ignite either a large container of cigarette butts and/or combustible materials if 13 there's sufficient fuel surrounding them. 14 15 Okay. Based upon Mr. Henry's inspection 0. of the scene, the photographs he took and 16 reviewed, his interviews with the Stifflers, 17 Mr. Henry concluded that the most probable cause 18 of the fire was careless handling of ignited 19 smoking materials. 20 21 True? 22 Α. Correct. All right. Did you also review the 23 Ο. report by a Deputy Fire Marshal Karelitz? 24 Yes, I did. 25 Α.

1 Q. Do you know Deputy Karelitz? Not real well. I know most of the guys 2 3 pretty well, but he's newer to me. I'm mostly just asking whether or not 4 5 I'm pronouncing his name correctly. I think that's fair. 6 Α. 7 Now, Deputy Karelitz also inspected the Q. scene in order to conduct an origin and cause of 8 9 the investigation, correct? Α. Correct. 10 And his analysis, like Mr. Henry's, 11 0. included interviews, right? 12 13 Α. Correct. 14 Ο. And he conducted a fire pattern 15 analysis? 16 Α. Correct. And he also inspected all pre-fire 17 Ο. available ignition sources within the general area 18 of origin, right? 19 20 I believe that's correct. Α. 21 And Deputy Karelitz found smoking 0. materials within what he believed to be the area 22 of origin, correct? 23 I believe if that includes the pipe --24 Α. Again, I'm reading from his report. 25 0.

- Deputy Karelitz found smoking materials within the 1 area of origin, correct? 3 Please repeat your question. Α. 4 Ο. Sure. 5 Deputy Karelitz found smoking materials 6 within the area of origin, correct? 7 Deputy Karelitz found a pipe in the area Α. of his origin, I believe, based on how he report 8 9 his report, correct. Does the sentence, smoking materials 10 were observed within the area of origin, appear in 11 Deputy Karelitz's investigation report? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 All right. So Deputy Karelitz found 15 smoking materials within the area of origin. True?
- In his area of origin, correct. 17 Α.
- All right. And his area of origin was 18 Ο.
- in Robert Stiffler's bedroom, right? 19
- Α. Correct. 20
- 21 And that's your area of origin as well, Q.
- correct? 22

- 23 Α. Correct.
- 24 Do you disagree that there were smoking Ο.
- materials in Mr. Stiffler's bedroom? 25

1 Α. No, I believe I discussed them in my 2 report though. 3 You've discussed the pipe, right? Q. 4 Α. Correct. And the pack of Marlboro cigarettes? 5 Q. Correct. 6 Α. 7 So there were smoking materials in Q. Mr. Stiffler's bedroom? 8 9 Α. Correct. 10 And smoking materials are a competent 0. ignition source as Detective Karelitz found, 11 12 correct? 13 Α. That's what he opined, yes. Do you not believe smoking materials are 14 15 a compentent ignition source? I thought we just talked about the risks 16 of carelessly disregarded smoking materials. 17 Yeah, I believe they can be a competent 18 Α. ignition source. 19 20 It's important for something to be a 21 competent ignition source, because if it's not a competent ignition source, it can't be the source 22 of any ignition? 23 2.4 Α. Correct. 25 Q. Deputy Karelitz reported that the

combined opinion of the fire scene investigators 1 is that the fire was caused by careless smoking. 3 Do you recall that? 4 Α. Yes. 5 0. All right. And he, in fact, eliminated 6 all other potential ignition sources. 7 True? He believes he did, yes. 8 Α. 9 Ο. Okay. In fact, he said that all ignition sources in the area of origin -- which 10 again is Mr. Stiffler's bedroom, right? 11 Α. Correct. 12 All right. All ignition sources within 13 Ο. the area of origin were identified, examined 14 and/or considered. 15 16 Is that what he reports? Where did you get that in the report? 17 Α. 18 So I'm under the -- on page 4 of the Ο. 19 report under potential ignition sources. You're looking at a PDF? 20 Α. 21 Q. I am. So I don't know if your page numbers are 22 correct, but it's the fourth page of the PDF. 23 You'll have to forgive me, Steve. I 2.4

don't have any page numbers.

1	Q. I don't either.	
2	So it says narrative at the top of it.	
3	Narrative, paren, two and then the second to last	
4	section is potential ignition sources.	
5	A. Got it. Thank you.	
6	Q. Uh-huh. And I'll just read it for the	
7	record, it says, examinations were conducted in	
8	effort to identify the pre-fire available ignition	
9	sources within the general area of origin.	
10	All ignition sources in the area of	
11	origin were examined, identified and/or	
12	considered.	
13	Do you see that?	
14	A. Yep.	
15	Q. And that's something Deputy Karelitz and	
16	his team conducted and you were not able to do	
17	because you weren't involved or present at the	
18	scene, correct?	
19	A. Correct.	
20	Q. All right. And it mentions that there	
21	was external heat and fire damage only to those	
22	items.	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. And that there were no indication of any	

failures or malfunctions of those items. 1 2 Do you see that? 3 Α. Yes. And Deputy Karelitz and his team 4 Ο. eliminated all ignition sources, other than the 5 carelessly disregarded smoking materials, correct? 6 7 Α. Correct. So I want to see if you agree with me 8 Ο. 9 here. 10 Are you aware of any other fire investigators, other than Deputy Karelitz and 11 Mr. Henry, who conducted an actual fire 12 investigation of the scene and interviewed the 13 Stifflers? 14 15 No, other than the police interaction, whatever that was, no, I don't believe so. 16 the fire department. 17 Did they conduct an origin of cause 18 investigation? 19 20 I don't believe so. Α. 21 So do you agree that the only two people 0. to have conducted an origin of cause investigation 22 that involved going to the scene, performing a 23 scene examination, evaluating potential ignition 2.4 sources and discussing this case with the

Stifflers were Mr. Henry and Deputy Karelitz? 1 Correct. 3 Ο. Okay. And both of them determined that the likely cause of this fire was carelessly 4 5 discarded smoking materials, correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 And Deputy Karelitz, in fact, considered Q. and excluded all other potential ignition sources 8 9 within the area of origin. True? 10 Α. Correct. 11 Q. Did anyone look at the iPhone? 12 It's not reported that anyone looked at 13 the iPhone. 14 15 0. Do you know one way or the other? All I can tell you is it's not reported 16 Α. that anyone looked at the iPhone. 17 Do you know whether it was included in 18 Ο. Deputy Karelitz's artifacts inspection? 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Johnstown - Erie - Pittsburgh - Greensburg 866-565-1929

From what I can read in his report or

Has anyone identified the iPhone in the

recall, I haven't read it recently, he didn't

house at all, the physical remnants of it?

identify the iPhone.

Yes.

Α.

1	Q.	Who's that?
2	А.	Me.
3	Q.	Well, you weren't there.
4	А.	It's in the photographs.
5	Q.	Okay. Has anyone conducted an
6	inspectio	n of the iPhone, to your knowledge?
7	Α.	Not to my knowledge, no.
8	Q.	Has anyone x-rayed it?
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	Any CTs of it that you're aware of?
11	Α.	No.
12	Q.	Any microscopy?
13	А.	No.
14	Q.	Any photographs of it that allow you to
15	draw any	conclusions as to its condition?
16	А.	No.
17	Q.	While we're at it, can you point me to
18	the photo	s that you believe depict the iPhone?
19	А.	I always find it amazing in these
20	depositio	ns how you can know your report front and
21	back but	can't find it now.
22		You're looking at PDF?
23	Q.	I am.
24	А.	Page 24?
25	Q.	Okay.

If you look in the lower right, off the 1 edge of the table, there appears to be the remains 3 of a rectangular-shaped object similar to an 4 iPhone. So this is what I'm looking at. I'm on 5 Ο. 6 page 24, PDF 24. 7 Is this the photograph you're looking 8 at? 9 Look at the page numbers at the bottom. Α. 23, 24. 10 All right. So I'm looking at the --11 Q. page 24 of 33 of your report. It's the 25th page 12 on the PDF, which we will go ahead and mark as 13 Exhibit 11. 14 15 (Ryhal Deposition Exhibit Number 11 marked.) 16 BY MR. COPENHAVER: 17 And I'm looking at a photo that's figure 18 Henry 166. 19 20 Do you see that? 21 Α. Correct. All right. And where on this image is 22 what you believe is a rectangle similar in size to 23 an iPhone? 2.4 25 Let's see, you go to 5:00. Right there.

- 3 A. Yep.
- 4 Q. Do you have a better image of this?
- 5 A. That's Henry's photo. That's --
- 6 whatever resolution it is may be better.
- 7 My image is better than yours, but I've
- 8 got a large screen TV, so --
- 9 O. I just think that it's the fact that
- 10 it's in -- I don't know, I'm sure a PDF has been
- 11 compressed a few times.
- 12 Why is it that you believe whatever it
- is we're depicting here or is depicted here is an
- 14 iPhone?
- 15 A. Based on its physical characteristics
- 16 and shape.
- 17 Q. The fact that it's a rectangle?
- 18 A. Yeah, and it has a rounded edge to it.
- 19 You know, it's similar in size to an iPhone. I
- 20 believe it's there on the table.
- 21 This is the table that sat next to the
- 22 bed as described by Mr. Stiffler where he had the
- 23 iPhone.
- O. So if we assume for purposes of the
- 25 question that that is an iPhone, and I'm still

- having trouble seeing it, what significance does 1 that have to you, other than the fact that there 3 was an iPhone on the table? I mean, is there anything about the 4 condition or depiction of the iPhone that is of 5 6 any significance to your evaluation other than the 7 fact that it was present? And it's collapsed down onto the floor 8 Α. 9 on the table, which is in the general area of origin that I believe is on the west side of the 10 bed. 11 Q. Right. So that's what I meant by 12 present. 13 14 That it was present in what you believe 15 to be the general area of origin.
- But in terms of the condition of the 16
- iPhone, is there anything of significance to your 17
- evaluation based upon the depiction of the iPhone, 18
- that's what it is, as it appears in this 19
- photograph in your report? 20
- 21 Only that it's -- it appears
- potentially -- well, not potentially. I believe 22
- it is -- looks like a phone to me and it's 23
- 24 present.
- 25 The question was drawn up or asked by

- Hoffman in his report whether or not the iPhone 1 was even in the room. 3 Okay. And if this is the iPhone, its significance to your evaluation is that it appears 4 5 to have been present on this table? One of the potential ignition sources 6 Α. 7 identified by the Stifflers. Okay. And to your knowledge, was that 8 Q. 9 iPhone ever retained? 10 To my knowledge, no. Α. It was never made available to you to 11 0. 12 inspect? 13 Α. No. Would you have done so if you were 14 Ο. 15 provided that opportunity?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Why?
- 18 A. Because it would have permitted further
- 19 analysis of the device and the other components
- 20 that were located with it.
- Q. What could it have told you?
- 22 A. Potentially if there was an internal
- 23 failure of the phone.
- Q. And in the absence of having that to
- inspect, you were not able to evaluate whether or

- 1 not there's an internal failure of that phone,
 2 correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 O. Does this report that we're looking at,
- 5 which has been marked as Exhibit 11, and which is
- 6 dated May 16th, 2022, contain all of the opinions
- 7 that you reached in this case?
- A. You're referring to my report, correct?
- 9 O. That's correct.
- 10 And just so we're clear, I moved to the
- 11 top of the page what we've marked as Exhibit 11,
- 12 is dated May 16, 2022, prepared by Robert G. Ryhal
- 13 and it's a 34-page document.
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Does that contain all of the opinions
- 16 you've reached in this case?
- 17 A. To date -- to that date, yes.
- 18 Q. Are those opinions final based upon the
- 19 information available to you?
- 20 A. At this time.
- 21 If there's other information that would
- 22 become available, would strengthen or potentially
- 23 I don't think change my position as to what I
- 24 believe the ignition scenario is, but may be
- 25 supportive.

Did you offer any supplemental or 1 0. additional report other than this one? 3 Not as of today. Have you been asked to do so? 4 Ο. 5 Α. No. Do you have opinions that you intend to 6 Ο. 7 express, if there's a trial in this matter, other than those contained in the report that we marked 8 9 as Exhibit 11? Not based upon where I am today with the 10 Α. information I've been provided with. 11 Okay. Do you anticipate doing any 12 additional work on this case? 13 14 I'm not aware of any additional that I 15 would be doing. Did you formulate any opinions based 16 Q. upon your review of Dr. Hoffman's report? 17 I received Dr. Hoffman's report --18 Α. No. actually I just recently reviewed it. I believe 19 it was Friday I reviewed his report. 20 21 Did you generate any opinions responsive Q. to that report? 22 To my client? 23 Α. No. 24 What about to yourself? Ο. 25 Α. Yes.

1	Q. What are those opinions?
2	A. He is relying upon informational bias,
3	which it permeates the Fire Marshal and Henry's
4	report and he repeats it.
5	I also found it surprising that he
6	basically accuses me of being a defense we'll
7	use the word lackey as, you know, a kind word.
8	But at the same time in his analysis of
9	things, he fails to address the fact that Apple
10	produced records which show that the iPhone is
11	capable of having a thermal event.
12	So the prejudice that he's accusing me
13	of in actuality I believe he's guilty of it
14	himself.
15	But basically he has taken the word
16	the investigations of the Fire Marshal and
17	Mr. Henry, which was extremely biased because of
18	the informational process of it that was received
19	by Henry is from Karelitz.
20	So that idea that this was a
21	smoking-related fire, that the insured is a drug
22	addict, a drunkard, permeated the reports and that
23	causes them to not, I guess, analyze the potential
24	ignition sources in the room of origin in a
25	reasonable manner that I would expect them to.

1	Q. All right. What didn't they do for the
2	other potential ignition sources in the room that
3	you believe would have been a reasonable
4	investigation?
5	A. He should have put the brakes on and
6	waited and interviewed Robert Stiffler when he was
7	ready to talk, then went back in and conducted the
8	scene examination.
9	Q. Anything else from Hoffman?
10	A. I mean, he cites that the particular
11	battery in question, I believe, was x-rayed as
12	part of their procedures.
13	He spends considerable time on the
14	2 percent battery level as reported by Robert
15	Stiffler, but he doesn't acknowledge or address
16	the fact that the battery maintenance system could
17	be compromised and/or the thermal temperature in
18	the battery itself could alter that.
19	I'm not sure of the specifics but I
20	believe that it has a battery maintenance system
21	most likely from my understanding of these battery
22	systems and he doesn't address that issue.
23	He only uses that as the reason why it's
24	excluded.
25	Q. I don't understand any of that.

1	So he doesn't acknowledge or address
2	that the BMU could be compromised are you
3	saying the BMU was compromised?
4	Well, let's back up. What's a BMU?
5	A. Well, the BMU is the battery management
6	system. You're managing the battery health charge
7	and discharge, that kind of thing.
8	I do have a general understanding that
9	that's what occurs with these batteries. And if
10	that system fails, and there's a compromise to the
11	battery, you can have a thermal event and a
12	chemical reaction.
13	Q. You're not saying that's what
14	happened
15	A. He doesn't address
16	Q. Hold on. I'll let you finish, but I
17	just want to make sure I'm clear.
18	You're not saying that's what happened
19	on this phone. You're saying he didn't address
20	it, is that right?
21	A. Right. Right.
22	Q. Okay. Continue.
23	A. So, again, I was talking about
24	informational bias and the accusations that he
25	leveled in his report.

1 I would say he's equally guilty of it, 2 because he hasn't -- he didn't address those 3 issues. He just skimmed over them. You asked me my opinion of reading his 4 5 report and, you know, he threw an insult out in 6 that report towards me and I think -- he's the pot 7 calling the kettle black. That's how I look at 8 it. 9 He doesn't say anything -- of all of the photos available to him, he talks about flashover 10 and how it obliterates all patterns. 11 But the patterns that do exist, that can 12 13 be analyzed outside of ventilation patterns, he 14 doesn't address either. He ignores all of that. 15 How does the battery management system relate to his 2 percent battery level comment, if 16 you know? 17 He's solely relying on the statement of 18 Mr. Stiffler that that battery only had 2 percent 19 based on his testimony. 20 Do you not believe the phone had 21 0. 2 percent battery? 22 I believe that Mr. Stiffler reported 23 24 that the battery was reporting it had 2 percent. He also reported that the battery was hot.

- 1 also reported it began to charge.
- Q. Do you have any information to suggest
- 3 the battery was -- was at anything other than a
- 4 2 percent charge at the time that Mr. Stiffler
- 5 plugged it in?
- 6 A. I don't.
- 7 Q. Do you dispute that the battery was at a
- 8 2 percent charge when it was plugged in?
- 9 A. Well, I raise the question that --
- 10 solely relying on Stiffler. So I am disputing it.
- 11 Yeah, I'm disputing that it could not be at
- 12 2 percent.
- Q. Well, I'm asking you -- do you have an
- 14 opinion of what it was at?
- 15 A. All I can state is that Stiffler
- 16 reported it as 2 percent and the previous comments
- 17 relative to the thermal heat that he was
- 18 reporting. It began charging.
- 19 O. Do you have any information anywhere in
- 20 the record to suggest that the battery was at
- 21 anything other than 2 percent state of charge when
- 22 it was plugged in?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 O. Do you intend to offer the opinion that
- 25 the battery was anything other than at the

2 percent state of charge when it was plugged in? 1 2 I wouldn't be offering that sort of 3 opinion, no. 4 Ο. How long was it plugged in before the 5 fire occurred? 6 Α. I believe Mr. Stiffler guesses five 7 minutes. Do you have any idea of what percent can 8 Ο. 9 be added to the battery in a four-to-five-minute period of time after being plugged in when it 10 starts at a 2 percent state of charge? 11 I mean, I can only say from my personal 12 experience of my phones, it couldn't be more than 13 5 or 10 percent. 14 15 Ο. Have you ever conducted any type of analysis whatsoever to determine whether or not a 16 battery thermal event on a battery that's at a, 17 for example, 10 percent state of charge is a 18 competent ignition source for any type of fuel? 19 20 Α. No. 21 0. Are you aware of any studies performed by anyone else to determine whether or not an 22 iPhone at that low state of charge is a competent 23 ignition source if there's a battery thermal 2.4 25 event?

1	A. No, I'm not aware of any.
2	Q. Do you know whether strike that.
3	Do you have an opinion, based upon
4	anything that you can cite to, that a battery with
5	that low state of charge is a competent ignition
6	source if it undergoes a battery thermal event?
7	A. Please repeat the question.
8	Q. Yeah, it's probably a bad one.
9	So if Mr. Stiffler's iPhone was at a
10	2 percent state of charge at the time he plugged
11	it in and it charged for a period of five minutes
12	and remained as we discussed at a relatively low
13	state of charge, are you aware of any testing,
14	experiments, literature or any other basis to say
15	that that device would be a competent ignition
16	source at that low state of charge if it underwent
17	a battery thermal event?
18	A. Your question is after assuming that
19	level of charge and charging it, would I expect it
20	to be a competent ignition source?
21	Q. Correct.
22	A. I'm not sure that I can say one way or
23	the other.
24	Q. Okay. What's NFPA 921?
25	A. The guide for fire and explosion

- evaluation investigations. 1 What does NFPA stand for? 3 Α. National Fire Protection Association. Is it sort of the -- sets out the best 4 Ο. 5 practices for origin and cause investigations? 6 Α. That's correct. 7 Is it a guide that you typically follow Q. in your practice? 8 9 Α. Yes. It's sort of the industry standard for O 10 Ο. and C investigations, correct? 11 I wouldn't say standard areas. 12 Recommendations. 13 They are recommendations that you think 14 15 are good to follow in conducting a competent origin of cause investigation. 16 Fair? 17 If applicable to the investigation 18 Α. 19 that's underway. 20 Q. Sure. 21 And NFPA says if you can't determine an
 - 24 A. Correct.

correct?

22

23

Q. Do you agree with that?

origin, then generally cause can't be determined,

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And under NFPA, there are three
3	categories of information that are important to an
4	origin opinion.
5	And those are witness testimony, fire
6	patterns and fire dynamics, is that right?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Okay. Let's sort of take those in
9	order.
10	Did you interview any witnesses in
11	connection with forming your opinions as to
12	origin?
13	A. Well, I reviewed the deposition of the
14	principals and spoke with my client about specific
15	questions I had relative to the witness
16	statements.
17	Q. So you did not interview any witnesses
18	to the fire?
19	A. Directly? No.
20	Q. Is it true that NFPA says that timely
21	interviews of witnesses are important because
22	memories fade over time?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Okay. The depositions that you reviewed
25	were taken how long after the incident?

1	A. A year and a half probably.
2	Q. Sure it wasn't more like
3	three-and-a-half years?
4	A. Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. That's fair.
5	Q. Okay. Is that accurate
6	A. There was another attorney involved.
7	Q. Is that significant to you?
8	A. I mean, it's something you've got to
9	consider when you're analyzing the information,
10	yes.
11	Q. Would you have interviewed the Stifflers
12	early on had you been retained early in this
13	investigation to conduct the origin and cause
14	investigation?
15	A. If that was what the client wanted, yes.
16	Q. Why didn't you interview the Stifflers
17	in this case?
18	A. The depositions were provided and that
19	was the limit of my interaction with them. I felt
20	that the information that I got was sufficient.
21	Q. Okay. Nobody testified or provided any
22	statements to anyone that they ever saw the iPhone
23	catch fire, correct?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. And nobody mentioned the iPhone to any

- 1 investigators who investigated this incident at
- 2 the time of those investigations, correct?
- 3 A. I don't know that. It's not in the
- 4 reports.
- 5 O. You've seen no indication that an iPhone
- 6 was ever mentioned to any of the investigators
- 7 when they were investigating the origin and cause
- 8 of this incident, correct?
- 9 A. Based on the reports, correct.
- 10 Q. In fact, the first time you saw the
- 11 iPhone mentioned was in the context of litigation,
- 12 true?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 O. And that was after the attorneys -- or
- 15 the insurance company declined subrogation in this
- 16 case, correct?
- 17 A. I don't have any knowledge of any of
- 18 that, so -- I don't have any knowledge of that.
- 19 Q. Well, was there a subrogation case here,
- 20 do you know?
- 21 A. I don't have any knowledge of any of
- 22 that.
- Q. Okay. Well, you do have knowledge that
- 24 the origin and cause investigator hired by the
- 25 insurance company determined there not to be a

1 subrogation action here, correct? Oh, excuse me. Excuse me. You're 3 That was correspondence between motorist and Robert -- I can't recall the name of the 4 5 attorney. 6 Yeah, there was something in there --7 there was an e-mail exchange, correct. Okay. In fact, there was a -- did you 8 Ο. 9 see the letter from Mr. Walker at Cozen O'Conor to the insurance company based upon the 10 investigations that were done saying that there's 11 no subrogation here and we're gonna close our 12 file? 13 Correct. 14 Α. 15 Okay. And there was no discussion of Q. the iPhone in any of that, correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 And so the first time, based upon your 18 view of the materials, you ever saw the iPhone 19 mentioned was in the context of this litigation, 20 21 correct? 22 Α. Correct. Did you find that to be interesting? 23 Ο. You want my opinion? 24 Α. I do want your opinion. 25 0.

1	That's why we're here.
2	A. So that informational bias I talked
3	about that flowed its way through John Henry's
4	report was provided to the same information was
5	provided to Walker. Walker made decisions based
6	on that.
7	When you said subrogation, I didn't
8	think why I originally said I'm not aware of
9	that is I thought you meant there had been some
10	effort put into it.
11	As I see it, many times in a lot of
12	these cases, an attorney will ask whether or not
13	they believe there's credible subrogation involved
14	and they'll accept a report, a verbal report, a
15	handout.
16	And based on that they'll tell the
17	insurance company, we're either going to proceed
18	or not proceed.
19	And I saw that, as Walker was doing, was
20	simply a matter of practice to ask that question.
21	He based his information on what Henry gave him.
22	Careless smoking. The guy's a drunk. He's a drug
23	addict.
24	Q. Do you think that the Fire Marshal's
25	office did a bad job here?

1	A. You're trying to ruin my career in
2	dealing with these agencies?
3	There are occasions where in the private
4	sector I would say that their degree of effort,
5	based on their workload, is insufficient in fairly
6	determining the origin and cause.
7	Q. What did they miss? What did they do
8	wrong here?
9	A. They should have stopped and maybe
10	recovered the basic top layer of debris and then
11	started to try to identify potential ignition
12	sources and then wait to speak with Mr. Stiffler,
13	with Robert Stiffler.
14	Q. Why is it important to capture potential
15	ignition sources?
16	A. Well, the origin of the fire is
17	dependent upon a capable, a credible ignition
18	source and having sufficient fuel and fuel
19	configuration in order to propagate the fire.
20	Q. Yeah. But why is it important to
21	capture the artifacts?
22	A. Well, it's to preserve them. That
23	initial phase it is to preserve them, not to
24	disturb them, not to move them.
25	Q. Preserve them to what end?

-1	
1	A. As to their location, function.
2	Q. Is it to be able to inspect them?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. And why is it important to inspect them?
5	A. Because based on the information
6	provided, those ignition sources can be identified
7	that are relative to the area of origin.
8	So in this instance, although we haven't
9	talked about it, the burn injuries to
10	Mr. Stiffler, to Robert Stiffler, are significant
11	and they are actually testimony to the fire, the
12	development of the fire.
13	Q. Well, we'll get there.
14	But I'm talking more you said it's
15	important to capture the artifacts. I'm trying to
16	figure out why.
17	A. Well, the scene investigation should
18	require one to remove the debris and identify the
19	available ignition sources.
20	Q. And once those ignition sources are
21	identified, what's the next step?
22	A. To analyze them relative to them
23	being their credibility of being a competent
24	ignition source.

25

Q. What does that entail? Sorry. I didn't

1 mean to cut you off, Mr. Ryhal. 2 And whether or not those ignition 3 sources, based on the timeline of the ignition scenario, fit into that timeline. 4 5 And what is the -- what does the Ο. 6 analysis of those potential ignition sources to 7 determine whether or not they're competent ignition sources entail? 8 9 In the instance of, for example, the cable TV box, what's the power consumption of the 10 cable TV box? How is it wired? Where is the 11 12 appliance cord? How it's routed. In the instance of the television in 13 this case, it wasn't plugged in, so it's not a 14 15 competent ignition source. In the instance -- in this case, the 16 iPhone's reported to be there, appears to me to be 17 there in the location, in the immediate location 18 where Mr. Stiffler suffered injuries. 19 So is it a credible ignition source? 20 21 think Apple's reports state that it is. It can suffer a thermal event. 22 So those in this case -- and I know I'm 23

getting ahead of myself, I want to finish my

thought process out while we're at it.

24

1 Those are the two ignition sources in 2 the area of origin where Mr. Stiffler receives his 3 injuries, which are localized and directional and 4 specific. 5 And those injuries aren't received from 6 a fire developing in the corner of the room. 7 They're developed from close exposure to a smaller fire. 8 9 So those are the important factors in this instance. And had the Fire Marshal stopped 10 what he was doing, did the interviews, he would 11 have went back and maybe his report would read 12 13 different today. 14 Do you think the cable box then should 15 have been recovered and the questions answered that you just posed as to its power and its 16 ability to be a competent ignition source? 17 Well, the cable power cords, according 18 Α. to the Fire Marshal, they looked at. And I 19 believe they're capable of -- you know, arcing on 20 a cord is not rocket science. It doesn't require 21 a Ph.D. to determine that. 22 Anyone who's investigated a number or 23 degree of fires can tell whether or not what it 24 looks like on an appliance cord. 25

1	So that leaves us with the cable box
2	itself. A metal box with consuming 20 watts of
3	power, I do not believe is a credible ignition
4	source in this time period and in the fuel
5	configuration that we're talking about.
6	Q. I guess we're skipping origin. I didn't
7	intend to.
8	We started talking about whether we
9	started talking about interviews and that you
10	didn't interview anyone because you had the
11	depositions that were taken three-and-a-half years
12	later.
13	I thought I asked whether or not anyone
14	testified that based on an iPhone hot fire to any
15	of the investigators.
16	Your answer was no, correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. So if we focus in on origin, was there
19	any testimony or other statements that you relied
20	upon from any witnesses that were helpful in your
21	determination of origin?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. All right. Tell me what those are.
24	A. Mrs. Stiffler.
25	Q. Mrs. Stiffler?

1	A. The fire got
2	Q. Her testimony about the fire dynamics or
3	the physical evidence of the fire dynamics?
4	A. Her testimony.
5	Q. Okay. What about it?
6	A. When she comes out into the hallway,
7	she's standing upright. She sees Robert on the
8	floor. She can see fire down the hallway at the
9	ceiling level and black smoke coming out of his
10	room.
11	So she doesn't see a room in flashover.
12	She can stand upright in the hallway, which is
13	beginning to get smokey because the door to the
14	bedroom is open now and the fire gases are now
15	coming down the hallway.
16	So the room wasn't in flashover. The
17	configuration of the ceiling, the sloped ceiling,
18	in the location where she sees the fire, puts the
19	fire back to the right, which would be the
20	northwest let's just say it's the northern part
21	of the room based on what she sees.
22	Q. Why?
23	A. Well, if the fire had started along the
24	south wall with the open doorway, for example, in

the area of the sofa, the chair, the sofa chair or

1 the large projection TV, she would have seen fire burning from the floor upward to the ceiling. 3 So does that suggest to you that the origin to the fire was at least to the --4 5 Α. North. -- north of the visible site through the 6 Ο. 7 door? 8 Α. Correct. 9 That would be the north, what, 4/5th of Ο. the room? 10 Α. Correct. 11 Anything else from any of the interviews 12 13 or testimony that was relevant to your origin 14 determination? 15 Again, just focusing not on the physical evidence yet, but on the witness statements or 16 testimony. 17 Robert Stiffler's deposition. Α. 18 basically states that he woke up to encounter fire 19 and that he fell at some point and was trying to 20

burn injuries are to the front of his face, not to

of your area of origin determination?

What does that suggest to you in terms

He was lying on his right side. His

21

22

23

2.4

25

get out of the room.

- 1 the side of his head, not to the back of his head,
- 2 not to the left side of his head. They were on
- 3 his face and his hands, which indicates thermal
- 4 exposure in the area of the face.
- 5 He stated he got up and fell down.
- 6 There was burn injury to his knee and the bottom
- 7 of his feet. Again, consistent with the area of
- 8 origin being at his head and in the immediate
- 9 vicinity of the table, which contained the iPhone
- 10 and the cable box.
- 11 O. If the fire had been on his bed in the
- 12 area of his face, would those injuries have been
- 13 any different?
- 14 A. The way that he describes how he sleeps,
- 15 the injuries, if it had been on the bed where his
- 16 pillows were, where his head was, the injuries
- 17 would be to his head, the side of his head, his
- 18 hair. You're talking about fire, throat, chest,
- 19 shoulder.
- 20 Q. Why? Why would the fire moving a foot
- 21 from the table to the bed have that impact on the
- 22 location of his burn injuries?
- 23 A. The way that he described it from his
- 24 deposition is, he is sleeping right next to the
- 25 table. It was right in front of his face, the

- 1 iPhone was.
- 2 If his bed is on fire, which would cause
- 3 the bedding to catch fire, I would expect that
- 4 injury would be to the right side of his head,
- 5 because he's lying on a pillow.
- 6 So you made the theoretical point, what
- 7 if the bed's on fire? Well, then the injuries
- 8 would be to his right side. He's lying on his
- 9 right side on the bed.
- 10 O. I don't mean the bed underneath him was
- 11 on fire.
- 12 What if whatever caught fire was in
- 13 front of his face?
- 14 A. That's entirely possible. That would be
- 15 consistent with the burn injury he received.
- Q. Okay. Anything else?
- 17 A. I think I lost my train of thought there
- 18 as we went through that.
- 19 Q. Yeah, so just to orient you. We were
- 20 talking about -- we're not talking about fire
- 21 patterns or fire dynamics yet.
- 22 We're talking about testimonial evidence
- 23 or witness statements that you relied upon in your
- 24 origin determinations.
- 25 A. His burn injuries were testified to

1	during the deposition, so that's why I brought
2	that in.
3	Q. Yeah, right. Yep.
4	A. And the development of the fire as
5	reported by both Mrs. Stiffler and Arthur Stiffler
6	is consistent with the room fire that has not
7	failed auto ventilated yet, in that when the door
8	opens to the room, it would call for fresh air to
9	entrain into the room, which would lift the smoke.
10	Those patterns are visible on the wall
11	in the hallway. They're clearly visible.
12	And until the bedroom window fails, that
13	smoke layer would develop in the hallway, which
14	the Stifflers testified to, it rapidly descending
15	dark black smoke.
16	And then the physical pattern showed
17	that the window, the only opening in the room, had
18	to have failed because the progression of the
19	ceiling jet stopped before it got to the stairwell
20	and then would have recessed back into the room
21	with the ventilation auto ventilation of the
22	window on the west wall.
23	So those were testimony as to the fire
24	dynamics, the patterns that were observed, the
25	smoke that was observed. They're all consistent

- 1 in my book. Do those suggest anything to you other 3 than that the room of origin was Robert Stiffler's bedroom? 4 5 Α. When you put Robert Stiffler in that room of origin with his burn injuries, it puts him 6 7 at the area of origin, encounters the area of origin immediately with his face. 8 9 Ο. All right. Anything else on witness statements that was significant to your origin 10 determination? 11 No, I think that kind of sums it up. 12 13 MR. COPENHAVER: We've been going for a 14 little bit more than an hour. I don't know what 15 you all feel about lunch. I've obviously got a lot left but I'll do what you guys want. 16 MR. SANTICOLA: I mean, I don't need a 17 break for lunch but if anybody else does, again 18 it's okay with me. 19 20 (Recess - 12:31 p.m. - 12:52 p.m.) 21 BY MR. COPENHAVER: Mr. Ryhal, we're back from a break. 22
 - Q. Okay. So the second thing in conducting

Are you okay to keep going?

23

24

Α.

Yes.

1	an origin determination at NFPA includes an
2	analysis of fire patterns.
3	Is that your understanding?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Did you analyze the fire patterns in
6	this case?
7	A. Yes, I did.
8	Q. And can you tell me how your analysis of
9	fire patterns informed your opinion on origin?
10	A. We looked at the structure of the
11	ceiling. It involved a dropped two-by-four
12	ceiling with drywall gypsum board.
13	An analysis of those structural elements
14	was also consistent with the area of origin being
15	along the west side of the bed.
16	Additionally, there was
17	Q. Does it make sense to take these
18	seriatim? Or for you just to say everything and
19	then for us to dig into them?
20	I'll do them whatever way is easiest for
21	you.
22	A. It's easier thought-wise if you just let
23	me answer.
24	Q. Okay. Got it.
25	A. So there was that structural analysis.

1	Additionally, the hole burnt in the
2	floor so the hole burnt in the floor along the
3	west side of the bed isn't consistent with
4	ventilation patterns, more consistent with the
5	duration of burn in that area, which was mirrored
6	in the ceiling above.
7	Those were the primary patterns that I
8	observed, as well as there's a hole burn in the
9	center of the floor at the top of the bed.
10	But the patterns that I observed under
11	the lower third, the foot that the Fire Marshal
12	refers to, to me were consistent with air
13	entraining into the room.
14	Then you have the loss of mass of the
15	clothing in the closet, as well as the structural
16	elements from that closet were consumed at the
17	head of the bed where Mr. Stiffler was lying.
18	If you compare that observed damage in
19	the photographs to the nightstand located on the
20	east side of the bed, the wood components still
21	remained at floor level.
22	Q. The what components?
23	A. The wood components of the nightstand.
24	The wood members.
25	Q. Anything else under the fire pattern

1	heading?
2	A. The patterns in the hallway corroborate
3	the witness statements through the depositions
4	relative to the development of the fire.
5	And it also points to the fact that that
6	room was not in flashover when Mrs. Stippler
7	entered the hallway.
8	And that after the fresh air was entered
9	into the room, the ventilation patterns change and
10	auto ventilate out the window on the west wall,
11	which is consistent with the area of origin being
12	away from the window and near the bed.
13	I think that's it.
14	Q. Okay. Let's take those in order.
15	First thing you mentioned was a
16	structural analysis that included the ceiling, the
17	structural elements of the ceiling.
18	And that, in your view, was consistent
19	with the area of origin being alongside the west
20	side of the bed.
21	Did I get that correct?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Are there some photographs that help
24	indicate what you're talking about so you can
25	explain it to me?

Wouldn't hurt occasionally, Steve, if

106

- you'd just refer to the page number. 3 Well, I didn't know which ones you were -- I don't know which ones you're --4 5 I thought you were talking about --Α. 6 there's photographs in my report with numbers. 7 Q. Yeah. I just don't know which of those 8 you want. 9 I'm happy to generally do that if I'm referring to one, but I don't know which one 10 you're going to pull up. 11
- 12 A. Page 22 of 33.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. It shows the ceiling joists, which are
- 15 labeled one, which would be the western wall.
- 16 Then two, three, four, five, eastward towards the
- 17 hallway.

- 18 You can see in the center, underneath
- 19 number two, the carpenters lowered the ceiling
- 20 level and they applied two-by-fours as the new
- 21 ceiling joists and tied them in with the
- 22 stringers, which is right above number two.
- Q. I'm having a really hard time seeing
- 24 anything in these photographs because they're of
- 25 such poor quality in the PDF.

1	Can I have can I get these Henry
2	photographs so I can actually see a JPEG of them
3	or at least a better PDF of them?
4	A. If you give me a second.
5	Q. Sure.
6	(Pause.)
7	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
8	Q. So we were referring to a photograph
9	depicting some of the ceiling joists in Robert
10	Stiffler's room.
11	And I think you had referred me to the
12	image that's on page 22 of your report, which is
13	titled Henry 173-174.
14	I pulled up Henry 174 of the ones you
15	have shown me and that appears to be a little
16	better version or at least a more clear version
17	of the photograph that's embedded in your report.
18	So using Henry 174 as a reference point,
19	can you help describe what you're intending to
20	show with this photograph?
21	A. Does it show the top half of the room?
22	Q. I'll just share my screen, show you what
23	I have.
24	Can you see that?
25	A. Yes.

- 1 Q. So that's the photograph I'm looking
- 2 that's labeled Henry 174 by JPEG.
- 3 A. So I was referring to these stringers
- 4 that they had used to tie the two-by-fours into
- 5 the existing -- or the previous ceiling joists.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. If you look immediately in the center of
- 8 the room and just to the right. Stop. Go
- 9 straight up. Stop.
- 10 Just immediate -- move it to the right,
- 11 that's one of those stringers with a piece of
- 12 two-by-four still attached to it.
- 13 So I analyzed those in reference to the
- 14 damage in the room based on the duration of burn,
- 15 heat exposure, failure of the ceiling membrane,
- 16 i.e. the gypsum board.
- 17 And that is the area of the ceiling
- 18 which would be over the western edge of the bed
- 19 that exhibited the greatest damage to the
- 20 renovated ceiling two-by-fours.
- 21 Q. So I'm gonna try to say back portions of
- 22 what you said to me to make sure I understand it.
- 23 If I'm not, let me know.
- 24 But the ceiling joists in and around
- 25 where my cursor is here, where that sort of piece

109

1	is perpendicularly hanging down on the top of	
2	Henry 174, in your view depicts the most amount of	
3	damage to the ceiling?	
4	A. No. You'd have to go to the diagram in	
5	my report. And the dotted line shows you where	
6	that ceiling joist the lower one for the	
7	renovation would have run. And it's consumed.	
8	Q. That's here?	
9	A. Correct.	
10	No. You're looking at number one there.	
11	Number two would be over to your left.	
12	Q. Here?	
13	A. Right there. Yep.	
14	Q. Off of this beam here?	
15	A. Yeah.	
16	Q. Is there one of those that hasn't been	
17	consumed?	
18	A. Yeah. Number three, immediately to the	
19	left.	
20	Q. That's this one?	
21	A. Yep.	

Q. So -- and is this the remnants of one?

Q. And two would have been here?

22

23

24

25

A. Yes.

Correct.

A.

110

1	Q. And three is here?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. All right. How do you know two was
4	here?
5	A. You simply follow the joists above it
6	over to the wall stud and go down. The bottom of
7	the rafter, the previous ceiling rafter.
8	Q. This?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. What about that?
11	A. That's it. Follow that to the wall
12	stud.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. All the way you're on number one. Go
15	to number two to your left. Right there. Go
16	down.
17	That's where the lowered ceiling members
18	should have run.
19	Q. Yeah. How do you know it was there?
20	A. That's interesting.
21	So they ran the stringer without
22	you're saying they ran the stringer down without
23	running the joist?
24	I don't think it would be possible to do

25

that construction-wise.

1	Q. So if I'm understanding, your opinion is
2	that this perpendicular piece hanging down in the
3	middle of the photo was tied into the lower joists
4	that had an origin point around here and would
5	have come across like this, is that right?
6	A. I'm sorry. I was looking at another
7	photo to show you. Please do it again.
8	Q. Sure. Let me see if I can I'm gonna
9	see if I can do something here.
10	So this perpendicular piece here, you're
11	saying, was tied into a lower ceiling lower
12	joist that would have had its origin point around
13	there?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. And that would have come across, if
16	we're ignoring the 3-D aspect of this, like that?
17	A. Sure.
18	Q. Is that your opinion?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And the fact that that is missing is
21	evidence that of what?
22	A. It is evidence to loss of mass in an
23	analysis of duration of burn absent ventilation
24	patterns.
25	Q. And how much of that is in relation to

- 1 amount, type and proximity of fuel as opposed to
- 2 origin?
- 3 A. That's a consideration, too, like, for
- 4 example, when you make that statement and the bed
- 5 is located a horizontal plane across, let's say,
- 6 40 percent of the floor, so we go to page 23,
- 7 Henry 171 and 172, and you can see that the
- 8 structural elements over the bed -- or the major
- 9 body of the bed, not the western edge, are intact.
- 10 So the structural damage is specific to
- 11 the western edge of the bed. You got it right
- 12 there.
- So in the upper right corner is, we'll
- 14 call it, joist number one, correct?
- Then there's joist number two. Now,
- 16 those were the upper joists, not the lower ones.
- 17 Q. Right.
- 18 A. But the remainder of the lower joists
- 19 are all intact.
- Q. Well, is that -- is number one intact?
- 21 A. No. You're looking at the upper joists.
- 22 Q. Like what about this perpendicular thing
- 23 that hangs down? Isn't that the same as here that
- 24 would have been connected to a cross one?
- 25 A. That's the stringer, correct.

1	Q.	And is this for number one?
2	А.	Yes.
3	Q.	And that's missing as well?
4	Α.	Yeah, one's missing.
5	Q.	And two's missing as well?
6	А.	Correct.
7	Q.	All right. And where's the window in
8	172?	
9	А.	That would be at your back.
10	Q.	Is it this way?
11	Α.	Behind you.
12	Q.	This way? If that's coming towards me?
13	А.	Yeah.
14	Q.	All right. Could the direction and
15	location	of ventilation account for the disparate
16	damage to lower ceiling joists?	
17	А.	Well, maybe upper gas layer. I don't
18	see that	as ventilation patterns. That's duration
19	of exposure.	
20	Q. And so I'm trying to understand why	
21	that's	why
22	А.	So out of the window, the ventilation
23	gases exiting are going to be out of the top	
24	window, the top half or two-thirds in this case,	
25	because w	e have air being fed from down below.

- And it could, in fact, be completely filled with 1 ventilation gases. 3 So the ventilation patterns would be derived at the floor where the air is being drawn 4 5 in and interacting with the hot fire gases. 6 So, if anything, the upper gas layer 7 would be not a dead zone, because it's experiencing heat and decay of the mass of 8 9 members, but, no, that's not a ventilation 10 pattern. Okay. And what does the presence and 11 0. location of fuel, what effect does that have on 12 13 the burn patterns on these cross joists? 14 They can generate those patterns. 15 for example, part of those patterns, the generation would be from the chair in the corner. 16 So this is all post flashover. 17 Ο. Sure. 18 So then if we look at 172 or the first 19 one you directed me to, which is -- let's look at 20 21 174. Page 23? 22 Α. Or 22?

24

25

Ο.

Α.

Q.

Yep.

Page 22 of your report. Henry 174.

Orient me as to which way we're looking

1	here.
2	So the window is along the west side of
3	the wall, correct?
4	A. The window is to your right. It's the
5	rectangle white spot in the middle.
6	Q. Right.
7	But on a compass, that's the west side?
8	A. Yes. Yes, I'm sorry.
9	Q. Okay. And the table as it were would be
10	between the bed and that window?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. The foot of the bed would be towards
13	that lathe and plaster and the brick wall we see
14	in this photograph?
15	A. The foot of the bed is in the photo and
16	it is right in the center is the lower right
17	portion of the bed.
18	Q. I guess we're looking at 173 then.
19	A. I'm sorry. Yeah. Yeah. That's a
20	collage of two photos joined together.
21	Q. I'm just realizing that.
22	So the photograph that you have in on
23	page 22 of your report titled Henry 173, 174, you
24	have combined two separate photographs?
25	A. Correct.

1	Q. How did you do that?	
2	A. It's pretty simple. You just put them	
3	on a page in a diagram block and then you size	
4	them by orientation of objects that they match up	
5	in the photos.	
6	Might seem complicated, but it's	
7	actually very simple.	
8	Q. So it explains why I couldn't see the	
9	bed on 174.	
10	A. Do you see see to the right, the hole	
11	in the floor that leads over and then it looks	
12	like there's some paper or might be something	
13	below the floor?	
14	Q. I do on 173.	
15	A. Yeah, you can see it.	
16	So that's you would take those two	
17	images and you would join them together where they	
18	kind of mesh together.	
19	You can't always get them perfect, but	
20	you can get them pretty close if the photographer	
21	doesn't change his stance.	
22	Q. Yep. So this is so the foot of the	
23	bed then would be the part of the bed that is	
24	facing toward the television in Henry 173, the	

farthest away from the camera?

1	A. No. The location of the bed, that is
2	where Mr. Stiffler's head would be, is in the
3	lower right corner.
4	Q. Correct.
5	So do you see the television?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. That's the foot end of the bed?
8	A. Okay. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. And the head end of the bed is
10	the one closest to the camera in this photograph?
11	A. Right. Correct.
12	Q. The table would be between the bed and
13	the window that we see in the photograph?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. And then the chair would be immediately
16	to the left of the bed, on the head side of the
17	bed as depicted in this photograph?
18	A. Yeah, the chair is at an angle off the
19	bed. You can see the general outline of the chair
20	to the corner.
21	Q. Yeah. I'm just trying to orient us so
22	when I go back and look at these later.
23	These photographs were taken after the
24	collection of artifacts from the scene?
25	A. These photographs were taken by Henry

- 1 during his walk-through the day following the Fire
- 2 Marshal's visit.
- 3 Q. Okay. Had the table been removed from
- 4 the room at this point in time?
- 5 A. So the table, from my analysis of
- 6 everyone's photos, appears that during overhaul,
- 7 it was moved basically over to the foot of the
- 8 bed, which would be -- maybe the easier way to do
- 9 this is -- so see the left side of the bed?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 O. That's the A wall in the room.
- The B wall would be where the TV is.
- The C, Charlie, wall, the west wall, is
- 14 over where the window is.
- 15 And then the A wall is up at the head of
- 16 the bed. That's the north wall.
- 17 Q. So that is different from how you've
- 18 depicted it in your scene diagram in your report?
- 19 Which I'm happy to do, I just want to make sure
- 20 we're consistent.
- 21 A. No, my diagram's correct.
- 22 Q. You just said the A wall was the head of
- 23 the bed.
- A. Yeah, I'm sorry, I meant to say D wall.
- 25 I said C wall was the west wall.

1 Q. I'm sorry? I misspoke. 3 All right. So just so we're on the same Ο. page. The foot of the bed where -- the wall 4 5 facing the foot of the bed where the TV is would 6 be the B, Bravo, wall? 7 Α. Correct. If we're just going clockwise from 8 9 there, the wall with the window is the C, Charlie, wall? 10 Α. Correct. 11 The wall on the other side of the head 12 of the bed would be the D wall, correct? D as in 13 14 David? 15 Α. Correct. On the remaining one, on the one that 16 Q. the chair is closest to would be the A, Alpha, 17 wall? 18 19 Α. Correct. All right. So you were saying something 20 Ο. about the table? 21 So the table appears to have been moved 22 23 during the overhaul process. It ended up off of the southeast corner of the bed frame, which would 2.4 be the A, B corner of the bed. 25

1	Q. So it was moved over to where my cursor	
2	is?	
3	A. Yep.	
4	Q. And is that essentially, from your	
5	understanding, the complete opposite side of the	
6	bed in which it started?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. Which would be in the C, D corner of the	
9	bed?	
10	A. Correct.	
11	Q. All right. Do you have any photographs	
12	depicting the table in its original location?	
13	A. No, I do not.	
14	Q. How have you located the table in your	
15	diagram to the position which you believe it was	
16	at the time of the fire?	
17	A. The diagram was produced from	
18	questionnaires to the insureds.	
19	Q. Is there any physical evidence or	
20	photographic evidence on which you're relying that	
21	is either confirmatory or different from the	
22	positioning of the table in the diagram that you	
23	have embedded into your report?	
24	A. The only thing I noted was that there	
25	was an area absent debris, which I believe I noted	

NETWORK DEPOSITION SERVICES Transcript of Robert G. Ryhal

1 in my report. Allegheny County Fire Marshal photo 338. 3 Ο. One second. Page 18 of 33. 4 Α. So I've pulled up Allegheny County photo 5 Ο. 6 338. 7 Do you see that? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And it looks like we're looking directly Ο. at Charlie wall? 10 Α. Correct. 11 Q. And we're standing at the foot of the 12 bed? 13 14 Correct. 15 Q. What is significant about this 16 photograph? Just to the left of the Fire Marshal's 17 boot, there appears to be no excavation that's 18 been done. There's a protected area on the floor. 19 20 Q. Okay. 21 If you look at the collapse of the table, the outlines are similar in shape. 22 that table -- I thought there was an earlier 23 photograph showing the table. There is one. 24

25

21 of 33.

1	Q. Okay.
2	A. 21 of 33 you can see the remnants of the
3	table.
4	Q. So I need the Allegheny County photo
5	number.
6	A. 286.
7	Q. 286.
8	It's just easier for me to pull those
9	photos up. They're a little better.
10	All right. So I've pulled up Allegheny
11	County photo 0286. And what is this the one
12	that's from your report?
13	A. Let me go back to that.
14	A. What page was that?
15	Q. 21.
16	A. Thank you.
17	And your question is?
18	Q. Why did you direct me to this photo?
19	A. So if you look to the left of the
20	cabinet, the metal cabinet, which has a protected
21	area on the bottom because it was sitting on the
22	floor before being disturbed by overhaul
23	operations, the table was located on the floor to
24	the left of that.
25	Q. All right. And orient me as to where we

- 1 are in the room when this photo is taken.
- A. So we would be standing in the doorway
- 3 to the bedroom looking pretty much directly right
- 4 down at the floor.
- 5 And if you notice in the lower right
- 6 corner of the metal cabinet, you can see the bed
- 7 rail for the bed. It goes off to your right.
- 8 Q. This?
- 9 A. Yep. Yep. You got it.
- 10 Q. So if we're standing in the doorway,
- 11 that would be the -- if we're standing in the
- 12 doorway, wouldn't that be the Alpha, Bravo corner
- 13 of the bed?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. So the table --
- 16 A. Looks like it's closer to the wall, but
- 17 I can't tell how much of the cabinet is covering
- 18 the bed.
- 19 Q. Would that be the Alpha wall or the
- 20 Bravo wall?
- 21 A. To your left is Bravo.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. You're standing on the Alpha wall.
- 24 O. And so then the table here would be at
- 25 the foot end of the bed?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. All right. So the table as it was found
3	in the room by the Allegheny Fire Department was
4	at the foot end of the bed?
5	A. I don't know where the fire department
6	found it, because obviously overhaul operations
7	have occurred, because the cabinet speaks to that.
8	So whether it was found there or it was
9	over the other only place I could essentially
10	put it would be where there's a clearing in the
11	floor. Something was removed.
12	Q. So let me show you Allegheny County
13	0285.
14	Do you see that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. I'm sharing too many screens here.
17	There we go.
18	How does that look? Can you see that?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. I don't have my e-mail up or anything,
21	do I?
22	All right. So this is sort of a zoomed
23	out photo of what we were just looking at. So
24	this would be sort of the panoramic that would
25	have shot the floor and then they lifted the

- 1 camera up, shooting towards the northwest corner,
- 2 the C, D corner of the room.
- 3 Q. That's why I've been trying to use
- 4 whatever those are called.
- 5 So we're still along the Alpha wall here
- 6 on Exhibit 35 facing the Charlie, Delta corner?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And the table that you identified as
- 9 being under the metal cabinet would be on the foot
- 10 end of the bed?
- 11 A. It would be underneath the oxidation on
- 12 the cabinet on the left, to the lower left.
- 13 O. And that's towards the foot end of the
- 14 bed?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And then where's the clear --
- 17 A. Looks closer to the wall to me. Seems
- 18 closer to the Bravo wall to me than the bed.
- 19 O. Okay. Perfect.
- That's fine.
- 21 But the Bravo wall is the one facing the
- 22 foot end of the bed, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Do you see any indication from this
- 25 photograph that the table was located along the --

- 1 near the Charlie, Delta corner of the bed, the
- 2 head end of the bed, at the time of the fire?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Is there any physical evidence or
- 5 photographic evidence suggesting that it was?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Okay. Does this --
- 8 A. With the exception of what I previously
- 9 said, where there's a cleared area on the floor,
- 10 that isn't accounted for.
- 11 Q. But this photograph that we're looking
- 12 at 285, was before that area was cleared, was it
- 13 not?
- 14 A. Yeah. There's a lot of debris,
- insulation, the rafters from up above, the wall
- 16 studs.
- 17 O. Okay. And before that area was cleared
- 18 out, it's apparent that that table was not there,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. All right. So there -- let me ask
- 22 again.
- Is there any physical evidence at all
- 24 that that table was actually at the head end of
- 25 the bed at the time of the fire?

1	A. No.
2	Q. You just have to accept that as true,
3	based upon the diagram that you were provided,
4	correct?
5	A. Well, and other practical
6	considerations.
7	Q. Well, there could be a table next to a
8	chair that was along the Charlie, Bravo corner of
9	the room, correct?
10	A. Pardon?
11	Q. Wasn't there a chair in the Charlie,
12	Bravo corner of the room?
13	A. No, the chair is in the Bravo, Charlie
14	corner.
15	Q. That's I thought that's what I meant.
16	I thought that's what I said.
17	A. I thought you said Charlie, Delta.
18	Q. I thought I said Charlie, Bravo.
19	There's a chair in the the
20	A. I'm so sorry.
21	Q. There's a chair in the Charlie, Bravo
22	corner of the room, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Would there be a practical reason to
25	have a side table next to a chair?

1	A.	Sure. I'm sure that could be.
2	Q.	Was there a cable box on that table?
3	A.	In the debris field?
4	Q.	Yes.
5	Α.	No, I didn't see one.
6	Q.	Okay. Where was the cable box located?
7	Α.	The cable box is in the debris that
8	there is	a group photo, I think, of a bunch of
9	them arti	facts that they recovered.
10	Q.	Where did they recover the cable box
11	from?	
12	Α.	I don't know.
13	Q.	Would it make sense that that was near
14	the telev	vision?
15	Α.	The cable box?
16	Q.	Correct.
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	And that would be along the Bravo side
19	of the room?	
20	Α.	The cable box was located to a TV, which
21	reportedl	y was located on the western side of the
22	bed.	
23		The table that's observed in the hallway
24	has the r	remains of what appeared to be the
25	speakers	for the television, which was on the

1	table.	
2	Q.	You said the western side of the room.
3	Wasn't it	along the southern or Bravo wall of the
4	room?	
5	А.	You're talking about where the table's
6	found?	
7	Q.	Where the television was.
8	Α.	Well, the television is if you refer
9	to Exhibi	t 1.
10	Q.	The Notice?
11	А.	Page number is 10.
12	Q.	Oh, Exhibit 1 in your report
13	А.	Correct.
14	Q.	of Exhibit 11. Okay.
15		Okay.
16	А.	Yeah.
17	Q.	I see the diagram.
18	Α.	I see the confusion.
19	Q.	We're on the same page then.
20	Α.	All right. Very good.
21		So on that long, linear rectangle on the
22	oval is t	he television that was located sitting on
23	top of th	e cable box.
24	Q.	Got it.
25		So he had two televisions in his room?

1	A. The projection TV was not plugged in and
2	basically was a dust collector.
3	Q. Right.
4	But there were two televisions in his
5	room?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. Okay. And, again, the orientation of
8	that or the position of that table is
9	provided your opinion about the position of
10	that table is because of this diagram, correct,
11	rather than the physical evidence from the scene?
12	A. No, I think that would be a shallow
13	interpretation, because there's only two
14	receptacles in the room.
15	So without an extension cord, the
16	television, cable box and Apple iPhone need to be
17	plugged into receptacle R-2, which to scale is no
18	more than 4 to 5 feet away.
19	So the reported diagram yes, it
20	this is how it was reported, but physically, it's
21	the only way it could have been.
22	Q. Okay. How long is the cord for the
23	iPhone?
24	A. I don't know. Long enough to reach that
25	wall.

Well, sort of by definition, if the 1 0. phone was charging on this table, that table had 3 to be close enough to that receptacle to be 4 plugged in? 5 Α. Correct. And you don't know what that distance 6 Ο. 7 is? No, I could estimate. 8 Α. 9 Ο. How far is Charlie wall from where the bed is located? 10 From the rafters, from the location of 11 Α. the bed, it appears to be within 4 feet. And then 12 13 you got the table to minimize that distance, because the table obviously is not at the edge of 14 15 the bed. It extends towards the western wall. It's obviously not as depicted in this 16 Q. photograph? 17 18 I'm saying the table -- if the Α. left side of the Stiffler's bed is at 4 feet, you 19 know, the table will have dimensions and it's 20 21 closer to the receptacle than the bed. But in terms of the table's orientation 22 north/south, so towards the D wall or towards the 23 B wall, that's based upon the information provided 2.4 to you in this diagram? 25

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. All right. But where it was found after
3	the fire was not in the orientation in which it
4	was suggested to you by this diagram, correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And there's otherwise no physical
7	evidence that it was in that in the location in
8	which it was identified in the diagram, correct?
9	A. Absent my previous discussion about the
10	area before that appeared to be cleared.
11	Q. Yeah. But that's that's why I wanted
12	to show you photo 285, which is before it was
13	cleared, and there's no table there.
14	We agree?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Okay. So let me ask that question
17	again.
18	There's no physical evidence that
19	supports that the table was in the position in
20	which it was depicted in that diagram at the time
21	the fire started.
22	True?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. But nonetheless, you believe that it was
25	in or around the location of the table as depicted

- 1 to you in the diagram that was the point of origin
- 2 for the fire, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 O. And if the table was not in a position
- 5 in which it was suggested to you in that diagram,
- 6 then it would be -- that wouldn't change your
- 7 point of origin, would it?
- 8 A. No. No.
- 9 O. I mean, your point of origin is not
- 10 dependent upon a table being in that location, is
- 11 it?
- 12 A. My point of origin is dependent upon the
- 13 burn injuries suffered by Mr. Stiffler.
- Q. Right.
- 15 So whether or not the table was there is
- 16 not -- is not the reason that your point of origin
- 17 is where it is.
- 18 It's based upon the things that NFPA
- 19 suggests, which is fire patterns, fire dynamics
- 20 and witness statements, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 O. So if it turns out that the table was
- 23 not in the position in which it was represented to
- 24 be in the diagram that you were provided, your
- 25 point of origin would still be the same, correct?

- 1 A. My point of origin is relative to 2 Mr. Stiffler's burns and the statements relative
- 3 to the configuration of the room.
- 4 O. Okay. But my question to you, if the
- 5 table wasn't there, if the table was in the
- 6 position in which it was found by the
- 7 investigating fire agency before they cleaned the
- 8 room, your point of origin would not change, would
- 9 it?
- 10 A. No. Because I'm assuming the table was
- 11 moved even during Mr. Stiffler's escape from the
- 12 room and/or the fire department's operations.
- Q. Well, I'm not -- well, first of all, do
- 14 you have any evidence --
- 15 A. You're giving me a theoretical, Steve.
- 16 Q. I am giving you a hypothetical. That's
- 17 why I said if.
- 18 So let me give you the hypothetical
- 19 again.
- 20 If the table was in the position in
- 21 which it was found again -- or it's depicted in
- 22 the photos from the Allegheny Fire Department and
- 23 not in the position in which it was represented to
- 24 you in the diagram you were provided, your point
- 25 of origin would not change.

1	True?
2	A. True.
3	Q. And if the phone was on the table, that
4	would mean to you that the phone could not have
5	been the cause of the fire.
6	True?
7	A. In your theoretical, yes.
8	Q. Do you have any evidence, physical or
9	otherwise, that the table was moved to the
10	position in which it was depicted by the Allegheny
11	County Fire Department photographs before those
12	photos were taken?
13	A. Repeat it?
14	Q. I don't know if I can, but I'll try to
15	get the gist of it in this new question.
16	Is there any evidence in the record that
17	the table was moved to the position in which it
18	was in which it's depicted in these Allegheny
19	County Fire Department photographs after the fire
20	occurred and before these photos were taken?
21	A. From their photographs, no. Only that
22	overhaul has occurred, because the cabinets are
23	knocked over.
24	Q. Okay. But specific to that table, is
25	there anything you can point to in the record

- 1 showing that that table was moved prior to these
- 2 photos being taken?
- 3 A. There appears to be a protected area on
- 4 the table, which is inconsistent with the floor in
- 5 that area.
- 6 Q. What do you mean? Show me what you
- 7 mean.
- 8 A. Page 19. Allegheny County Fire Marshal
- 9 340.
- 10 Q. Let me just pull up 340. One minute.
- 11 What page did you say in yours?
- 12 A. Page 19.
- 13 Q. 19. Thank you. Okay.
- 14 A. The Allegheny County Fire Marshal photo,
- 15 the area that was absent debris, has a piece of
- 16 carpet remaining on the floor. Something was on
- 17 the floor and was removed.
- 18 Q. Hold on. So I have 340 up.
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. All right. And tell me what you're
- 22 looking for.
- 23 A. Follow the floor joists on the left side
- 24 of the photo.
- 25 O. This one?

1	A. Next one over.
2	Q. This one?
3	A. Follow it right up to the floor
4	material follow it right up to where the floor
5	material was burned away. It begins to be present
6	again. Let's put it that way.
7	Q. In the joist?
8	A. Here's the joist.
9	Q. Yep. Where am I going?
10	A. Go up a little bit. Right there. Stop.
11	Down a quarter inch.
12	Do you see that semicircular reddish in
13	color right there?
14	Q. Yep.
15	A. Piece of carpet on the floor, protected
16	area. Something was in that area.
17	Q. That's not the size or shape of the
18	table, is it?
19	A. No, it isn't.
20	Q. How big is that protected area?
21	A. The table was immersed in fire. If you
22	go to photo, page 21, bottom, 286. Allegheny
23	County Fire Marshal 286.

You can see the table to the left of a

24

25

Q.

Okay.

NETWORK DEPOSITION SERVICES Transcript of Robert G. Ryhal

1 metal cabinet. Q. 3 Α. There's also something there that's 4 unburned, small. 5 Okay. What is that? Q. I don't know. 6 Α. 7 At some point maybe debris added or it's protected. But, again, the idea that things have 8 9 been moved around. So the damage to the table, if we look at it -- page 24. 10 Okay. What number? 11 Q. Α. 166 Henry. 12 13 Ο. Okay. The table was obviously completely 14 15 emersed in fire. The melted mass that the table has fallen down into, which appears to have the TV 16 speakers in it, there are no protected areas on 17 the floor that match that area. 18 The only observed patterns that match 19 that area that I could see is in the following 20 21 photo, on page 25. It is the area of the floor which is 22 absent debris and has the hole in it. This would 23 be immediately adjacent to where the table was 2.4 25 reported to be.

1	If you look at the bottom of the photo
2	of Allegheny County Fire, 338, you can see that
3	there's still a considerable amount of debris on
4	the floor at the foot of the bed.
5	Q. Let me pull up 338. I have Allegheny
6	County 338 here.
7	And you're suggesting you're
8	suggesting what now?
9	Wait. Hold on. I'm gonna change my
10	question. This is after the metal cabinet was
11	removed, correct?
12	Because what we're seeing here in 338
13	at the foot of the bed is where that metal cabinet
14	was depicted in those earlier photographs?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And underneath that metal cabinet was
17	the remnants of the table, correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. Okay. So you're suggesting that later
20	on that the remnants of that table were then moved
21	back over to the other side of the bed near where
22	the firefighter's foot is?
23	A. I couldn't say that.
24	Q. Well, what are you saying?
25	A. I'm saying that the table has been

- 1 moved, that the burn patterns and damage to the floor are consistent with the area of origin being 3 on the western side of the bed and that the table was moved either by overhaul and/or Mr. Stiffler 4 5 trying to escape the room. And what I'm trying to figure out is, if 6 7 the basis for your opinion that the table was alongside or between the window and the bed is the 8 9 debris field underneath this firefighter's photo -- or underneath this firefighter's foot as 10 depicted in 338, how you account for the fact that 11 the table in photographs prior to this, 12 sequentially prior to this, was at a different 13 location towards the foot end of the bed? 14 15 Α. Again, if you look at photograph 167, 168 on -- it's on my page 26. 16 17 Q. Okay. There is a protected area at the foot of 18 Α. the bed underneath the carpeting. 19 Do you see where it remains, the carpet 20
 - 22 O. Here.

pattern?

- A. We're having trouble seeing your arrow,
- 24 Steve. Okay. I see it now. All right. Yep.
- 25 So the issue at hand there is, is that

NETWORK DEPOSITION SERVICES Transcript of Robert G. Ryhal

- 1 the table -- where they recovered the table? don't know, because I didn't talk to the Fire 3 And, you know, that analysis hasn't been Marshal. done. But the table was moved. 4 From where? 5 Ο. From its location up at the head of the 6 Α. 7 bed. How do you know it was at the head of 8 Q. 9 the bed other than the diagram that was provided 10 to you? 11 Α. Witness testimony. Okay. But, again, no physical evidence 12 Q. 13 that supports that? I don't think it's that shallow of an 14 15 argument. I think the idea that there was only two receptacles in the room and you have the 16
- 19 presume that in -- for this evening or days before

statement from the individuals and the physical

characteristics of the table, you want me to

- 20 the fire, that Mr. Stiffler took his cable,
- 21 removed it from the side of his bed where it has
- 22 been testified to by his mother, et cetera, and
- 23 moved it to the foot of the bed. That's pure
- 24 conjecture.

17

18

25 Q. I'm just asking whether there's any

- 1 physical evidence for it.
- A. Previously I said there was no physical
- 3 evidence that it was at the head of the bed
- 4 because it's been removed.
- 5 Q. In 167, you can see the receptacle to
- 6 the left of the window?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And would it not make sense to
- 9 you to have a table closer to the foot of the bed,
- 10 especially if you have a television on it?
- 11 A. The testimony of Mr. Stiffler during his
- 12 deposition is that he has extremely poor eyesight.
- 13 O. Uh-huh.
- 14 A. And this TV was purchased and placed on
- 15 that table because he could lie there with his
- 16 head on the pillow and the TV was in close
- 17 proximity where he could see it clearly.
- 18 O. Got it.
- 19 How -- did anyone take the measurement
- 20 between that receptacle that we see in Henry 167
- 21 and where you believe the table to have been
- 22 located?
- 23 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Do you know whether it's possible for a
- 25 charging cord for an Apple iPhone 6S Plus to reach

1	from that receptacle to the area where the table
2	is depicted in the diagram?
3	A. We have witness testimony and
4	Q. I'm not asking if anyone testified to
5	it, I'm asking whether it's physically possible.
6	A. And we have the components of the room
7	that are only so large and you can only fit so
8	much in the room.
9	Do we have exact measurements? No.
10	Q. Let me ask it a more simple way.
11	Do you know how many feet it is from the
12	receptacle to the position that that table is in
13	as depicted in that diagram?
14	A. Exactly or approximately?
15	Q. Well, did anyone take the measurement?
16	A. I don't believe anyone did take a
17	measurement of where that bed was.
18	Q. So what would be the basis for your
19	approximation?
20	A. The size of the furniture.
21	Q. Okay. So tell me what your
22	approximation
23	A. The side of the room.
24	Q. And give me the basis for it.
25	A. The bed is generally 5-foot wide,

queen-sized bed. And based on the dimensions of

- the room, I want to say it was 12 -- 14 feet --3 I'd have to look at the scale diagram. But you have a chair to the right or the A, Alpha side, 4 5 which they could pass through. Cabinets on the 6 right wall. 7 And the location of the frame rail for the bed is directly underneath ceiling joist 8 number two, which are at most 2 feet on center. 9 So what's your opinion? 10
- Q. Okay. And then how far toward the foot

I think the bed's within 4 feet of the

- 14 end of the bed from the location that the table
- 15 was reported to you to be in is that receptacle?
- 16 A. You're saying along the Charlie wall?
- 17 Q. Let's do this. Let me show you your
- 18 report.

1

11

12

- 19 If this is approximately 4 feet, the
- 20 distance between the wall and the table, how far
- 21 is it between the table and receptacle two going
- 22 north/south?

Α.

wall.

- Because in 167, I'll tell you the
- 24 receptacle looks to be sort of parallel with the
- 25 foot end of the bed. I can show you that as well.

1	So I pulled up Henry 167. You can see
2	the receptacle along Charlie wall and to my eye,
3	it's more or less along the lines of the foot end
4	of the bed.
5	So how far south from the position that
6	you believe the table to have been in do you have
7	to go along that way to get to the receptacle?
8	A. Of the window?
9	Q. From the table to the receptacle.
10	Yeah, we'll use the window for the
11	reference point.
12	A. I would say it's approximately 2 feet.
13	Q. So that in order to reach even if it
14	was on the very edge of the table, in order to
15	reach that receptacle, the cord would have to be
16	over 4 feet long?
17	A. I believe so, yes.
18	Q. And do you know if the charging cable
19	provided with an Apple iPhone 6S Plus is that
20	long?
21	A. I would believe it would be generally
22	6 feet long.
23	Q. Is that a guess or are you basing that
24	on something?
25	A. No, I'm just basing it on experience. I
1	

- 1 do not know specifically what that cord was.
- Q. Okay. And if the cord wasn't that long,
- 3 would that suggest to you that it was not being
- 4 charged with the original cord or that the table
- 5 was not in the position in which it was
- 6 represented to be or something else?
- 7 A. I wouldn't know any of those. All I
- 8 have is what we were informed is that it was the
- 9 original cord for the phone.
- 10 Q. And my suggestion to you is, if the cord
- 11 as provided is not long enough for it to be
- 12 physically possible to be charging the phone in
- 13 that receptacle with the table in the position
- 14 which was reported to you, what does that tell
- 15 you?
- 16 A. Well, if that were the case, it might
- 17 tell you there was a different cord being used.
- 18 I'm not sure of the dimensions, because they
- 19 weren't taken.
- 20 Q. Could it also tell you that the table
- 21 wasn't in the position in which it was reported to
- 22 you?
- 23 A. Well, the table, as reported to me, if
- 24 it moves a little further south -- it's actually
- 25 in the diagram.

1	The table, based upon where the
2	receptacle is is closer to where the receptacle
3	is.
4	Q. So
5	A. I want to look at a photo here.
6	Q. Great.
7	A. It's Allegheny County photo 313.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. If you look at the receptacle, it's in
10	the center of the wall near the floor.
11	Q. I see it.
12	A. It has a cord coming out of it.
13	Q. I see it.
14	A. Those planks, it's vertical planking, a
15	plank-style house. And they're generally a foot
16	wide.
17	So that places the receptacle within or
18	less than 2 feet of the window. The window is in
19	the corner of the room.
20	Q. You believe that the window is in the
21	corner of the room?
22	A. Nearly at the corner. Yeah, that's the
23	way it was described to me.
24	Q. Well, we have photographs of it, don't
25	we?

- 1 A. Yeah. But you're missing the closet.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. So it appears to me that's directly
- 4 towards the top of the bed, the top half of the
- 5 bed where the cable was reportedly located.
- 6 Q. So how do you account for the -- I mean,
- 7 we can see where the receptacle is relative to the
- 8 bed in the photographs.
- 9 We don't have to look at the width of
- 10 the planks. There's a photograph of both the bed
- 11 and the receptacle.
- 12 A. My point is that I believe that's in
- 13 pretty close proximity to the head of the bed.
- Q. So let me pull up Henry 167 again.
- 15 Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You're telling me that that receptable
- in Henry 167 is closest to the head of the bed?
- 19 Does that make sense?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. Cool. Okay.
- 22 A. You're assuming the bed hasn't been
- 23 moved.
- 24 O. So everything in the room was moved. We
- 25 just have to take Stiffler's word over what all

1 the physical evidence is, is that right? I believe he is the strongest evidence, 3 yes. Got it. More than the physical 4 5 evidence. More than the photographs. More than where things were found. It's just -- it's 6 7 Mr. Stiffler? And his mother. 8 Α. 9 Got it. Okay. Thank you for agreeing Ο. with that. 10 All right. In fact, I want to do this 11 I want to make sure we're really clear 12 again. 13 here. 14 Henry 167, what you're telling the jury 15 is that the receptacle that we see along the wall next to the window is alongside the head end of 16 the bed, that's what you're saying? 17 Α. Yes. 18

22 A. Correct.

camera, right?

19

20

21

Q. And in the diagram as provided to you,

end of the bed is the one that's closest to the

- 24 you would agree -- in fact, the one that's
- 25 embedded in your report, you'd agree that the

Okay. You agree with me that the foot

position of the receptacle was closer to the foot 1 end of the bed, not the head end of the bed, 3 right? 4 Yeah, I guess that was more of a random -- I didn't scale that out. I didn't have 5 6 cause to. 7 Q. Just random? You're just throwing receptacles in 8 9 there? 10 Α. The receptacle's on that wall. No. Exactly where it was located, I don't know if the 11 other diagram exhibit is adjusted. 12 13 So the receptacle as depicted in the diagram you embedded in your report and that 14 15 contains the opinions you plan to offer in trial in this case shows the receptacle at the foot end 16 of the bed. 17 18 The photographs that Mr. Henry took on the day after the fire, which you previously 19 agreed showed the receptacle essentially alongside 20 21 the foot of the bed, you're now saying we have to disregard all of that? 22 23 Why? Because Mr. Stiffler says the table was towards the head end of the bed? 2.4

I think the perspective is skewing your

- 1 comments. But, I mean, the planking has the
- 2 receptacle within 2 feet of the window.
- Q. Why? Did you measure it? Did anyone
- 4 measure it?
- 5 A. No, but there's probably a way to look
- 6 back at it now that you brought these questions up
- 7 and maybe look at the planking on the wall and see
- 8 how many planks there are for the size of the
- 9 room.
- 10 Q. Did you do that?
- 11 A. Generally, yeah, I did consider the
- 12 location of the receptacle to the cable and asked
- 13 myself, was the receptacle close enough to the
- 14 cable. And I believe it was.
- 15 And I believe for that reason, the
- 16 location of the cable could only have been -- if
- 17 in use by Mr. Stiffler -- on the west side of the
- 18 bed.
- 19 So the idea to propose that the table is
- 20 where it was found at the foot of the bed prior to
- 21 the fire originating is preposterous, right?
- 22 I don't think it's a consideration. You
- 23 can make that argument to a jury, right?
- Q. If we get there.
- 25 A. And they would have to believe either

- 1 the argument you're making or believe that what
- 2 I'm saying and what the Stifflers are saying is
- 3 reasonable.
- 4 O. To be fair, you didn't conduct any --
- 5 you didn't take any measurements whatsoever for
- 6 the orientation or position of that receptacle,
- 7 true?
- 8 A. I was not present to do that, correct.
- 9 O. And you didn't take any measurements for
- 10 the -- to indicate or position the bed within the
- 11 room relative to any of the walls, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And you didn't take any measurement from
- 14 how far the receptacle is to where Mr. Stiffler
- 15 said the table was, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And you don't know the measurement of
- 18 the charging cord that was ostensibly being used
- 19 to charge the phone at the time of the fire,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And you don't know whether that cord was
- 23 long enough to get from the receptacle to that
- 24 phone sitting on that table if, indeed, it was in
- 25 the positin in which Mr. Stiffler reported it,

1	correct?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Okay. And, in fact, the only thing
4	one of the things you can say is that despite what
5	Henry 167 shows and what you previously agreed
6	depicts the receptacle alongside of the foot end
7	of the bed, you now believe that contrary to what
8	is plainly evident from the photograph, that
9	receptacle is actually at the head end of the bed.
10	Is that your perspective?
11	A. I believe that the I believe that the
12	receptacle is within 2 feet of the window. And I
13	believe that that bed's within 4 feet of the wall.
14	The length of the cord I do not know.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. The dimensions of the table, I do not
17	know.
18	Q. How wide is that receptacle?
19	A. A standard receptacle?
20	Q. Sure.
21	A. Two-and-a-half inches, 3 inches.
22	Q. Which one?
23	A. Which one?
24	Q. Yeah. 3 inches, two-and-a-half or
25	something different?

- 1 A. The cover's probably 3 inches. The
- 2 rough opening is probably two-and-a-half.
- Q. Does the damage to the lowered ceiling
- 4 joists depict or indicate to you anything other
- 5 than that the fire originated in the western half
- 6 of the room?
- 7 A. It's consistent with the fire
- 8 originating in the western half of the room.
- 9 O. Anything else?
- 10 A. Duration of burn, when you compare it to
- 11 the other structural members.
- 12 Q. That it just burned longer in the
- 13 western half of the room?
- 14 A. Well, what happens is the drywall will
- 15 expand and collapse. So over an origin of a fire,
- 16 you can lose the drywall, the membrane, and then
- 17 permit fire to travel vertically.
- 18 Q. Have we talked about all of your
- 19 opinions related to the structural analysis you
- 20 did of the ceiling and how that formed your area
- 21 of origin analysis?
- 22 A. It simply supports that analysis.
- Q. Okay. The next thing you mentioned was
- the hole burned in the floor alongside the west of
- 25 the bed, which you believe was consistent with the

1	duration of burns in that area.
2	What do you mean by that?
3	A. That a fire was burning in that area
4	longer when one considers that the bed purportedly
5	was made, and the fuel configuration, being a bed,
6	is equal and the burns outside of the bed, there's
7	reportedly, other than the TV stand, nothing in
8	that location to cause the damage to the floor.
9	Q. If there was a comparatively more amount
10	of fuel in that location, would that account for
11	the hole in the floor in that location?
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. Meaning, if when Mr. Stiffler got out of
14	the bed, some of the bedding fell onto the floor
15	in that location, would that be consistent with an
16	increased level of damage in that location?
17	A. Sure.

A. Yes. I just noted that that was one of

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q.

Α.

Q.

can you explain it to us?

or not?

Okay. Do you know whether that happened

All I know is the damage that resulted.

Okay. Next you said a hole burned in

the center of the floor on the top of the bed. I

don't know what the significance of that was, but

- 1 the other areas that there was a hole burned
- 2 through the floor at the end of the bed in the
- 3 center.
- 4 O. And what's the significance of that to
- 5 you in your origin determination?
- 6 A. Just that there was something there that
- 7 had burned for a period of time.
- 8 There's also, in that general location,
- 9 the pillows, which generally are foam pillows,
- 10 they burn rather vigorously, would be consistent
- 11 with that type of damage.
- 12 Q. Very good.
- 13 And does that relate to your origin
- 14 determination or just the fact that there was an
- increased fuel source from the pillows in that
- 16 location?
- 17 A. It's just my analysis of what I'm
- 18 observing in the physical damage to the structure.
- 19 O. Does it relate to a point of origin? Or
- 20 just again, the fact that the pillows were there,
- 21 pillows burn vigorously and you're likely to see
- 22 increased damage in the area of the pillows?
- 23 A. I mean, that's what I would assume it
- 24 is.
- 25 Q. Okay. The next thing you mentioned was

loss of mass of the clothing in the closet and the

structural elements in the closet were consumed. 3 What's the significance of that? So a fire starting on the western side 4 of the bed and involving the closet, which was 5 6 open, had a large fuel source to grow rapidly. 7 So in this instance, once the door's open, Mr. Stiffler is in the hallway, you expect 8 9 rapid development of the fire due to the bedding materials and combustible materials. 10 Does the fact that the clothing, which 11 0. was a big fuel source, were consumed in the fire 12

tell you anything about the point of origin of the

- 15 A. Well, comparatively, the closet is
- 16 filled with clothes the whole way across.
- 17 Clothing remained over towards the eastern wall
- 18 versus the western wall.
- 19 Q. Do you have any photographs that depict
- 20 what you're explaining?
- 21 A. Page 21, Allegheny County Fire Marshal
- 22 photo 362.

fire?

1

13

- Q. Is there a door to that closet?
- A. No. It was open.
- Q. Was it open the whole way across?

1 A. Yes.	
2 Q. Okay. 362?	
3 A. You can see there's a large pile of	
4 clothes in the upper left corner.	
5 Q. Hold on. I'm pulling that up.	
6 Okay. I see.	
7 A. So in the analysis of loss of mass in	
8 the room, the compartment fire, the chair and the	
9 bottom of the furniture remained over on the	
10 eastern side versus the western side.	
11 Same with the closet.	
12 Q. And does that have anything to do with	
13 where the fires where the flames were	
14 ventilating out of?	
15 A. No.	
16 Q. Why not?	
17 A. Because the ventilation patterns	
18 wouldn't exist through there. They would exist	
19 from the doorway out through the window.	
20 Q. Right.	
21 Away from where those clothes are	
22 located, right?	
23 A. Correct.	
Q. And that's sort of my question.	
25 If the flames were being ventilated away	

159

NETWORK DEPOSITION SERVICES Transcript of Robert G. Ryhal

- 1 from all those, is it not unsurprising that some
- 2 of them remained unconsumed in that area?
- 3 A. No, it's -- the analysis as well is the
- 4 loss of mass, so what you're assuming is that this
- 5 air's moving into this room and selectively
- 6 burning mass in dead air spaces. And that's not
- 7 the case.
- 8 The case is the patterns are visible to
- 9 the bottom of the bed and the entranceway to the
- 10 floor where the fresh air is entraining in there
- 11 and it's causing that heat damage.
- 12 The closet area is a dead air space, and
- 13 so it's not -- I wouldn't expect that a
- 14 ventilation pattern would play a role far into the
- 15 room, particularly when in between there is the
- 16 head of the bed, which still has bedding material
- 17 on it.
- 18 Q. Okay. Anything else?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Was there anything else about the fire
- 21 patterns that informed your origin analysis?
- 22 A. Well, there was a lack of damage to the
- 23 south, the B, Bravo, wall from the combustion of
- 24 the chair.
- Q. What's the significance of that in your

1	view?
2	A. I would attribute that to air
3	entraining.
4	Q. I thought you weren't gonna say that
5	anymore.
6	Okay. But what's the significance of
7	that to your origin opinion?
8	A. To my origin, nothing.
9	I'm just observing the patterns in the
10	room relative to the reported fuel packages.
11	Q. All right. I'm just trying to figure
12	I'm trying to walk through the three NFBA prongs
13	of an origin analysis.
14	We covered witness testimony. I think
15	we've now covered fire patterns.
16	But what I'm trying to figure out is
17	whether there are any other fire patterns that
18	informed your analysis of your origin
19	determination before I move on to fire dynamics.
20	A. No.
21	Q. Did you also consider fire dynamics in
22	coming up with your origin determination?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What are fire dynamics?
25	A. Fire dynamics is the analysis of

compartment and the fuels and the arrangement of

- the ventilation openings relative to a fire's 3 growth in ventilation in a structure. And so tell me what your analysis with 4 respect to fire dynamics entailed in making your 5 6 origin determination in this case. 7 Α. So the information provided by Mrs. Stiffler's that she first observed when she 8 9 entered the hallway is considered -- is consistent with the statement of Mrs. Stiffler and her 10 husband, Arthur, that she walks out into the 11 hallway, she can see the fire and smoke coming out 12
- And as he comes back, the smoke is now

of the bedroom and she goes to get Mr. Stiffler.

- 15 pushing its way down towards the floor. They
- 16 extricate their son. Arthur says he extricated
- 17 his wife also to the outside.
- 18 And the patterns on the wall indicate
- 19 that in that period of time, the window to the
- 20 bedroom failed, because the patterns reversed
- 21 themselves and began venting out the west wall.
- 22 Q. Did the fire pattern suggest to you
- 23 anything other than the room of origin was Robert
- 24 Stiffler's bedroom?

1

13

25 A. Well, analysis of dynamics have involved

consideration of time. So how far was the fire 1 developed prior to the door being opened? How 3 rapidly did the fire grow after the door was 4 opened? 5 And the testimony, which is consistent 6 with the patterns that are observed on the second 7 floor, is that the fire grew rapidly after the door was opened. 8 9 The observations of Mrs. Stiffler relative to fire dynamics is that the fire is 10 towards the north Delta wall. 11 And that's what we talked about earlier. 12 13 Α. Correct. 14 Her being able to -- from her vantage 15 point in the hallway coming out of the primary bedroom is that the -- if we take her testimony to 16 be true, that the fire would have originated 17 somewhere in the northern 4/5ths of that room as 18 we discussed earlier, correct? 19 Correct. 20 Α. Does the analysis of fire dynamics 21 Ο. narrow it further than just focusing on fire 22 23 dynamics? 2.4 Α. No, you have to incorporate other

factors, the burn injuries to Mr. Stiffler.

1	Q. Understood.
2	I'm just sort of taking them one at a
3	time.
4	Okay. So have we now talked about your
5	opinions with respect to fire dynamics as it
6	relates to your origin determination?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Let's take a five-minute break.
9	Maybe we can finish up origin and move
10	on over to cause, which I'm sure we're all excited
11	to talk about.
12	(Recess - 2:37 p.m 2:50 p.m.)
13	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
14	Q. Mr. Ryhal, we are back from our break.
15	I want to finish up on origin before I
16	move on to cause.
17	So we discussed a lot about fire
18	dynamics and fire patterns and statements from
19	or the deposition testimony that you reviewed.
20	And from your report that we've marked
21	as Exhibit 11, it appears that you have put the
22	point of origin, quote, in the immediate vicinity
23	of the table, end quote, along the western side of
24	Mr. Stiffler's bed, is that right?
25	A. Correct.

Okay. How were you able to pinpoint it

2	to that degree of specificity in the immediate
3	vicinity of the table?
4	A. Mr. Stiffler's statement and his burn
5	injuries.
6	Q. Well, sir, we already talked about
7	well, let me ask this. When you say immediate
8	vicinity of the table, how far does that immediate
9	vicinity extend?
10	A. Within the area of the table to the bed
11	where Mr. Stiffler's located.
12	Q. Does it extend under the bed?
13	A. No, I believe the burn injuries are
14	really specific. I mean, it could be the edge of
15	the bed. Obviously there's a bed in there. There
16	was a fire.

- 17 Q. That's what I mean.
- I mean, if the fire originated on the
- 19 edge of his bed between his body and the edge of
- 20 the bed, would not -- I think we talked about this
- 21 earlier. Would you not expect his injuries to be
- the same?

1

Q.

- A. No, I wouldn't.
- Q. I don't understand.
- 25 A. If the bed was on fire, it's a

- 1 horizontal surface, it's underneath him, his
- 2 injuries would reflect that.
- 3 Q. I'm not talking about the bed -- I'm not
- 4 talking about him sleeping on top of a fire.
- 5 I'm talking about -- how possibly are
- 6 his injuries different if the fire starts on the
- 7 table or a foot inwards on the bed but in the
- 8 same -- but in the same relative position to his
- 9 face?
- 10 A. I think it's fair to say to say that --
- 11 I would say it's in the immediate vicinity.
- 12 Can I tell exactly where it started?
- 13 No.
- 14 O. So what I'm saying is, you allow for the
- 15 possible -- both the patterns of his injuries and
- 16 the patterns of the fire are as consistent with it
- 17 starting immediately under the bed, as it is
- 18 6 inches away on the table, true?
- 19 I mean, you can't narrow it down to that
- 20 level of specificity, can you?
- 21 A. I don't think I did.
- 22 Q. Okay. Well, that's why I asked how far
- 23 does immediate vicinity extend.
- 24 A. Immediate is subjective.
- Q. That's right.

1 Well, that's why I'm asking --2 I can't say it's an inch, 5 inches or 3 10 inches. I'm just saying that I believe that it started in that area and the primary evidence are 4 his burn injuries, how localized they are. 5 are localized to his face. 6 7 And what I'm asking -- because one of Q. the reasons you said that is the burn injuries. 8 9 And I'm saying -- and I thought we had covered this earlier, but I just want to make sure that 10 I'm right. 11 If -- you would expect the same injuries 12 13 whether the fire started on the table top or 14 10 inches over on the bed, but along the same 15 line, true? Α. Mr. Stiffler's lying on the bed. 16 Ι would expect that if there's a bed fire, he would 17 have injuries to his shoulders, neck, side of his 18 face. 19 Are you basing that on anything? 20 Ο. I'm basing it on my understanding of our 21 flesh's response to fire. 22 What I'm asking you is, if a fire 23 Okay. started in front of his face on the bed, that 2.4

would first burn his face, correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. And if a fire started on the table,
- 3 6 inches away --
- 4 A. But not his chest, right? In your
- 5 argument, the fire was specific to his face. It's
- 6 so localized that it only burns his face.
- 7 Q. If that's what woke him up, sure, before
- 8 it got to any portion of his body.
- 9 Just like if a fire started 6 inches
- 10 away on the table top and it got to his face
- 11 first, you would expect burn injuries on his face,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 O. Okay. Is that correct? I mean, isn't
- 15 that right?
- 16 A. I mean, I'm not saying that the edge of
- 17 the bed isn't on fire. I didn't say that.
- 18 My point is, is that if the bed was on
- 19 fire -- from a bed fire, a smoking fire, I would
- 20 expect to see greater injury to Mr. Stiffler.
- 21 Q. Okay. And my question to you -- I mean,
- 22 if we're just thinking about this chronologically.
- 23 Let's say it's a smoking fire and he was smoking
- 24 and passed out or fell asleep, whatever. I don't
- 25 care which.

1	The cigarette or whatever he was smoking
2	ignited the head side of his bed, not under his
3	shoulder, not under his torso, not under his legs,
4	but 6 inches away from the table. It burns his
5	face. It woke him up.
6	Wouldn't those injuries be exactly the
7	same as if something ignited on the table top
8	6 inches away and burned his face and woke him up?
9	A. You can make that argument.
10	Q. I'm not making that argument.
11	I'm asking you what your opinion is.
12	MR. SANTICOLA: Well, I'm gonna object.
13	I mean, I'll let you answer the question, but
14	that's exactly what that is. That's proposing an
15	answer. It's an argument. It's not really a
16	question.
17	There was no smoking material or
18	evidence of smoking material in the area.
19	MR. COPENHAVER: Well, I'm not going to
20	let you testify.
21	MR. SANTICOLA: Well, but that's what
22	you're you're presupposing something that
23	doesn't exist.
24	MR. COPENHAVER: I said, if, which is a
25	hypothetical.

1	MR. SANTICOLA: Which is why I make that
2	argument but you can ask him again. He can answer
3	it.
4	THE DEPONENT: It's why I don't like to
5	answer hypotheticals.
6	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
7	Q. So
8	A. Again, if you're presuming it is a bed
9	fire, his injuries are actually very directional.
10	They are to the front of his face. They're not to
11	a portion of his face. They're to his overall
12	face.
13	He lacks damage on the side of his head
14	which was lying closest to the bed and on the
15	pillow. He lacks damage to the top side of his
16	head, which was facing open air. He lacks damage
17	to the back side.
18	So his injuries are consistent with the
19	bed being on fire the pillow material which
20	he's lying his head on. So it is a directional
21	burn.
22	Now, the idea that if you want to
23	argue, is it on the bed? Well, obviously the bed
24	caught on fire.
25	But what was the duration of his

- 1 exposure to the fire by his injuries is limited,
- 2 because his injuries are limited to the immediate
- 3 frontal lobes of his face. No neck damage,
- 4 shoulder damage. It's localized.
- 5 Q. Can you point me to any study,
- 6 experiment, literature or test that supports the
- 7 notion that his injuries are inconsistent with a
- 8 smoking fire?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did you do any -- did you do any
- 11 evaluation, test, study or analysis to make that
- 12 determination?
- 13 A. I guess I'm just going from my
- 14 experience of burn injuries, investigating fires
- 15 with burn injuries.
- 16 Q. So did you do any test, study,
- 17 experiment or analysis for this case to attempt to
- 18 demonstrate that to a jury?
- 19 A. Repeat it?
- Q. Did you do any test statement -- test,
- 21 experiment, study or analysis to attempt to
- 22 demonstrate that or prove that or assess that for
- 23 use in this case?
- 24 A. The testing of it is developing a
- 25 hypothetical and examining the burn patterns

- 1 relative to the various burn scenarios. Fire
- 2 would be a better word.
- Q. Are there any case studies that you
- 4 relied on, any surrogate analyses that you relied
- 5 on that would demonstrate that his injuries are
- 6 somehow inconsistent with a smoking fire?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Are those available in the literature?
- 9 A. Smoking-related fires?
- 10 Q. Yeah. An analysis of what types of
- 11 injuries are or are not consistent with
- 12 smoking-related fires?
- 13 A. I believe there's probably some analysis
- 14 of that.
- 15 Q. Okay. And you didn't look up any of
- 16 that for your work in this case?
- 17 A. Specifically his injuries are not
- 18 consistent with my experience with people that
- 19 have suffered burns from smoking-related fires.
- 20 Those fires, generally, the injuries are
- 21 extensive.
- 22 Q. But, I mean, I didn't see any -- I don't
- 23 see that you cited to anything for that in your
- 24 report or now. I'm just trying to figure it out.
- 25 A. Right.

1	Q. Is there anything that you can cite to
2	that supports that?
3	A. No, just my belief.
4	Q. Is there any type of surrogate testing
5	that could be done that would demonstrate this
6	phenomenon that his injuries are inconsistent with
7	burn injuries from discarded smoking material?
8	A. I guess you could try to test that, but
9	you would have to assume that what he had told us
10	is true, which you are not.
11	Look, I mean, if again, we're just
12	guessing, right?
13	You want to put it as a smoking-related
14	fire.
15	Q. Well
16	A. What would his injuries look like then?
17	I don't know.
18	Q. But you're the expert.
19	You're the one who said it's not a
20	smoking-related you're the only one who said
21	it's not a smoking-related fire.
22	There's a burden of proof that you have
23	to demonstrate that.
24	I'm asking what the I'm asking what
25	you're citing to to discount smoking as the cause

- 1 of the fire based upon his injuries.
- 2 A. The injuries to Mr. Stiffler are
- 3 directly to his face. They're directional.
- 4 They're not an area pattern.
- 5 So I believe that is evidence of -- that
- 6 he suffered a thermal burn to his face, which was
- 7 in the immediate vicinity, according to his
- 8 testimony, as he slept at night in front of the
- 9 cable.
- The injuries to the bottom of his feet
- 11 are consistent with him standing up in an area
- 12 where there's fire or getting burnt as he's
- 13 dragging himself away from it.
- 0. Did the fire start on the floor?
- 15 A. Apparently there was fire on the floor
- 16 when he stands up or he is trying to get away.
- 17 He's in a prone position, because he suffers burns
- 18 to his knees, his shin and the bottom of his feet.
- 19 O. And he escapes the room in the early
- 20 part of the fire, we talked about, before
- 21 flashover, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And there's fire on the floor when he
- 24 gets out of the room, correct? You just said?
- 25 A. I didn't say that specifically. I said,

- 1 as he's escaping, he could have pulled the cable
- 2 and got him down onto his feet as he's trying to
- 3 get away. I don't know. I couldn't say.
- 4 But all I can say is the burns are so
- 5 specific, the fire, at the stage where he's
- 6 involved with it, is a limited fire, because his
- 7 injuries are specific to different locations of
- 8 his body.
- 9 And that is inconsistent with what the
- 10 Fire Marshal is saying, where the Fire Marshal
- 11 opined that the fire starts at bottom of the bed,
- 12 it's smoking-related; therefore, if that were the
- 13 instance, Mr. Stiffler would have suffered
- 14 extensive burns to his knees, waist down.
- 15 Q. When did the fire start on the floor?
- 16 A. The fire on the floor?
- 17 O. Yeah. Before or after the table?
- 18 A. Well, there were no ignition sources on
- 19 the floor. The bed skirt does not go down to the
- 20 floor.
- 21 Q. So how do you --
- 22 A. So there's a disconnect between the
- 23 floor and the bedding materials.
- 24 So a fire on the bed, with the bedding,
- 25 the bedding is a potential which caused his burns

to his feet. I don't know.

175

- All I know is it's very specific and 3 that is not from a fire that has grown to any magnitude, his injuries. 4 5 All right. Is it your opinion one way Ο. or the other whether there was fire on the floor 6 7 when he escaped the room? I don't know how he got the injuries to 8 Α. 9 the bottom of his feet, either standing up -- but he doesn't have injuries to his ankles, so, 10 therefore, the fire on the floor is probably not 11 likely that he's standing in fire. He doesn't 12 have those injuries. 13
- 14 He has thermal injuries to the bottom of
- 15 his feet. And he had discussed that he was trying
- 16 to get out of the room, doing a crawl.
- 17 And at some point, the bottom of his
- 18 feet were exposed to that area of fire, which also
- 19 involved his face.

- Q. But you don't know how?
- 21 A. Well, I know one thing, he can't bend
- 22 over and receive the burn to his face and his feet
- 23 at the same time.
- I know they're two different parts of
- 25 the body that received burning. That is clear to

1	anyone that looks at his burns.
2	So it could only have occurred and he
3	surmises this in his deposition, he thinks that he
4	remembers getting up, falling in the fire, the
5	fire involving his face and then he has some
6	injuries to his feet. Exactly how that happens,
7	can't explain that.
8	Q. If the fire on if the fire did
9	start originate on top of the fire [sic] but
10	was ignited by smoking material, how would your
11	analysis be any different?
12	How would his injuries be different?
13	A. As I repeated, I think that he said he's
14	asleep, that a fire on the bedding where he's
15	sleeping, in the manner that he says he sleeps, at
16	the edge of the bed with his face immediately
17	adjacent to the table, that we would see injuries
18	on the entirety of his head.
19	Q. Why would it be I thought you said
20	that the lack of injuries to the rest of his head
21	suggests that the fire didn't originate on the
22	bed.
23	But I'm saying if it originated on the
24	table, but just the source of the ignition was
25	different the source of the ignition is what

changes the injuries on his head, in your opinion?

177

2	A. I'm not surmising that he was smoking.			
3	I have no reason to believe that he was			
4	smoking.			
5	Mr. Stiffler was outside smoking			
6	immediately before he went to bed. There was no			
7	history of Mr. Stiffler smoking in his bedroom.			
8	Q. Hold on. Hold on.			
9	A. You're saying that he went to bed,			
10	immediately lit up to cigarette, fell asleep, lit			
11	his bed on fire.			

How long does that ignition scenario

- 0. Question. Hold on. Back up.
- You said there's no history of him
- 16 smoking in his room. That's what he told you,
- 17 right? Or what he testified to, right?
- 18 A. And his mother and his father.
- 19 O. Well, his mother said she couldn't rule
- 20 it out.

take?

1

12

- 21 You saw that, right?
- 22 A. She was saying she didn't have absolute
- 23 certainty, correct.
- Q. Do you have absolute certainty?
- 25 A. Yeah, I believe the preponderance of the

- 1 evidence is he was outside smoking and he retired
- 2 to bed.
- Q. Okay. There's -- the two people who
- 4 actually investigated it, went to the scene, said
- 5 there was smoking materials found in his room,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What I'm asking you is, I want
- 9 you to assume that there was a careless -- there
- 10 were carelessly discarded smoking materials on the
- 11 table next to his bed.
- 12 A. That's impossible, because if we're
- 13 gonna assume the Fire Marshal and Mr. Henry are
- 14 correct, then we're gonna assume the fire's on the
- 15 bed.
- 16 Q. Hold on. Hold on. We lost counsel. I
- 17 can't do this without him. We're back.
- 18 MR. SANTICOLA: I was on my internet
- 19 using my laptop and our -- my link went down so I
- 20 put it on -- you know, my other TV.
- MR. COPENHAVER: I stopped when I
- 22 noticed you were gone.
- 23 MR. SANTICOLA: Go right ahead. Sorry
- 24 about that.
- MR. COPENHAVER: Yeah, no worries.

1	BY	MR.	COPENHAVER:

- Q. Mr. Ryhal, you can continue your
- 3 response.
- 4 A. I said, we are assuming that the Fire
- 5 Marshal and Mr. Henry are correct and the Fire
- 6 Marshal has the fire at the base of the bed.
- 7 It's not consistent with the injuries to
- 8 Mr. Stiffler. In fact, I think everything points
- 9 to the opposite end of the bed.
- 10 Q. I'm asking you to come along with me in
- 11 a hypothetical. I know you don't like them, but
- 12 I'm gonna ask you to do that.
- I want you to assume that Mr. Stiffler
- 14 carelessly discarded some smoking material on the
- 15 table next to his bed.
- 16 A. On the table?
- 17 Q. On the table.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 O. And that's what ignited whatever fuel
- 20 was on the table.
- 21 A. What type of smoking materials are they?
- 22 You said there were cigarettes found
- 23 there, right?
- 24 O. Cigarettes, marijuana pipe, some --
- 25 A. No marijuana pipe was found.

1	The marijuana pipe was found underneath	
2	the bed and the box spring and it's incapable of	
3	traversing down through that series of materials	
4	to where it was.	
5	It was located under the bed and	
6	unrelated to this fire.	
7	Q. Got it.	
8	So everything we see in the fire	
9	department photos were unmoved during renovation,	
10	including the table?	
11	A. Well, no.	
12	Q. Just the table was moved?	
13	A. Don't change the subject. We're talking	
14	about the pipe. You want me to presume a pipe is	
15	where it wasn't found.	
16	Q. So the pipe wasn't moved, just the table	
17	was moved.	
18	Was anything else moved?	
19	A. The table was moved either by fire	
20	department suppression, Mr. Stiffler or both.	
21	Q. Got it.	
22	But the pipe definitely wasn't moved?	
23	A. No. You can go through the series of	
24	progression of the recovery of the pipe by the	
25	Fire Marshal and it clearly is underneath the bed.	

1	Q. Got it.
2	So I want you to assume that a fire
3	started on the table as a result of carelessly
4	discarded smoking material.
5	A. I'm asking you, give me an ignition
6	source.
7	Q. I'm asking you to assume that a fire was
8	started by carelessly discarded smoking material
9	on the table.
10	Do you have a basis to say his opinions
11	or his injuries would be any different?
12	A. I believe I could say with a great
13	degree of certainty that I could probably lay
14	about 20 to 50 to a hundred cigarettes on the
15	table, lit, fire safe cigarettes, and they are not
16	going to burst into open flames.
17	So the fire starting on the table with
18	respect to this hypothetical is impossible in my
19	opinion.
20	Q. What type of fuel would need to be
21	present on the table for a burning cigarette to
22	ignite it?
23	A. You would need a combustible material,
24	fabric, whatever, that would readily catch on fire
25	with that sort of heat source.
1	

1	Q. Was there fabric on the table?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Why not? How do you know?
4	A. It's a wood table with a wood top.
5	Q. So you can put anything you want on the
6	table?
7	A. Pardon?
8	Q. You can put anything you want on a
9	table?
10	MR. SANTICOLA: Was that a question?
11	BY MR. COPENHAVER:
12	Q. Yeah.
13	How do you know there was no how do
14	you know there was no fuel source on the table?
15	A. The statements of Mr. Stiffler.
16	Q. Got it.
17	Okay. You mentioned annealing on the
18	box spring or the bed frame. What does that say
19	about your origin analysis?
20	A. The annealing is generally consistent
21	across the bottom of the bed and the damage that
22	was observed and the holes in the floor underneath
23	the bed were consistent, in my opinion, with
24	ventilation problems.
25	Q. So what does that annealing say, if

1	anything, about your origin analysis?
2	A. Well, at the area of where I believe the
3	fire started, there is oxidation patterns which
4	are specific to the bed frame.
5	And it's consistent with the general
6	location of a hole in the floor.
7	Q. So what does that mean about where the
8	fire originated?
9	A. Generally oxidation reveals patterns
10	which involves a greater heat exposure to steel.
11	Q. Again, where either annealing or
12	oxidation on the bed frame, what does it tell you
13	about the location of where the fire originated?
14	A. The annealing of the bed just simply
15	exhibits the patterns on the lower half of the
16	bed, which I believe were consistent with
17	ventilation patterns.
18	And the primary, I think, observations I
19	had was the oxidation on the bed frame is specific
20	to a certain location, which is adjacent to where

MR. COPENHAVER: Okay. Hold on. We

there's a hole in the floor, which is adjacent

immediately to the south of where the table was

25 lost Mike again.

located.

21

22

- 1 MR. SANTICOLA: Sorry about that. not sure what happened there. 3 BY MR. COPENHAVER: I don't think I got an answer to my last 4 Ο. 5 set of questions, which I know you're gonna fight with me on the hypothetical, but I still want you 6 7 to assume it's true. I want you to imagine a fire was started 8 9 on -- that carelessly discarded smoking material has ignited some fuel on the table top adjacent to 10 the bed. 11 Are you with me so far? 12 13 Α. Okay. 14 Would you expect his injuries to be any 15 different?

They're not on the bed --

19 MR. COPENHAVER: We lost Mike. Hold on.

fuels are. I would say they would be less.

I don't know. I don't know what the

- 20 Hold on. We lost Mike.
- 21 BY MR. COPENHAVER:

Α.

16

17

- 22 Q. Why would they be different? Why would
- 23 they be less?
- 24 A. It would be dependent on the fuel, the
- 25 carelessly discarded fuel that you're referring

1	to.
2	Q. Well, I'm referring to the smoking
3	materials being carelessly discarded and
4	carelessly discarded meaning being in proximity to
5	whatever fuel was present on that table top.
6	What was the fuel that you believe
7	caused his injuries?
8	A. The only identifiable fuel that caused
9	his injuries was the iPhone battery, which ignited
10	probably then the bedding.
11	Q. And we talked about this earlier.
12	Something needs to be a competent ignition source
13	in order to be attributed as the cause of the
14	fire, right?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. And you've done no analysis to determine
17	whether an iPhone at that reported state of charge
18	is a competent ignition source of any fuel,
19	including bedding, correct?
20	A. Only that it can suffer a thermal event.
21	Q. Yeah, but my example
22	A. Would it be significant enough to ignite
23	combustible materials? I believe so.
24	Q. But you've performed no analysis to
25	determine that, correct?

1	A. No, I leave that to others.
2	Q. All right. And you're aware of no
3	analysis being conducted on that that anyone has
4	conducted, true?
5	A. True.
6	Q. And if an iPhone in that start of charge
7	was not a competent source to ignite fuel
8	sorry, to ignite that or any other type of fuel in
9	proximity, then that stands to reason that it
10	could not have caused the fire, correct?
11	A. Then my hypothesis would be incorrect,
12	correct.
13	Q. Can a lit cigarette on top of a bed
14	cause a fire?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Could a lit cigarette of the type that
17	Mr. Stiffler smokes left on top of bedding cause a
18	fire?
19	A. It could cause a fire.
20	Q. A lit cigarette is a competent ignition
21	source?
22	A. It can be.
23	Q. The type of cigarettes that Mr. Stiffler
24	smokes could be a competent ignition source?
25	A. It can be.

- Can ignited -- an ignited marijuana pipe 1 Ο. be a competent ignition source? 3 It can be. You ruled out smoking as the cause of 4 5 the fire in this case, as I understand it, for -based upon Mr. Stiffler's testimony in one 6 7 respect, correct? 8 Α. Correct. 9 Based upon the injuries to his face, Ο. 10 correct? Α. Correct. 11 Anything else? 12 Q. There's no evidence of smoking materials 13 in the location of the head of the bed. 14 15 MR. SANTICOLA: He has already testified 16 as to the speed of the fire. MR. COPENHAVER: Hold on, Mike. Let him 17 testify, please. I don't want you to do it.
 - You didn't -- you said there's no 20
 - 21 evidence of smoking materials in the area of the
 - head of the bed. 22

BY MR. COPENHAVER:

18

- You understand that people who inspected 23
- the room, unlike yourself, reported finding 24
- evidence of smoking materials in the area of 25

1	origin, right?
2	A. The smoking materials in their area of
3	origin or your area of origin? Or my area of
4	origin?
5	Q. In Mr. Stiffler's room.
6	A. Smoking-related materials that were
7	observed in their photographs included a pipe,
8	which was underneath the bed. And at the head of
9	the bed, near the closet, I believe there was a
10	cigarette pack. I would say it's an older
11	cigarette pack that was not I don't know if
12	it's Marlboro, but something was different about
13	it.
14	But anyways, it was underneath clothing.
15	It was protected on the floor.
16	The presence of a cigarette pack, which
17	would have been in a pocket or whatever, there's
18	no lighter discovered, they didn't discover
19	anything that suggested that Mr. Stiffler had any
20	way to even light the cigarette at that point.
21	There's nothing they discovered.
22	Q. If someone was smoking a cigarette, went
23	into their room and passed out on their bed and
24	that fire ignited that bedding on fire, would you
25	expect to find that cigarette after the fire?

- 1 A. That's difficult to say. The butts,
- 2 they'll tend to go away but depends -- but
- 3 typically I would expect it would be difficult to
- 4 find a cigarette.
- 5 As I just addressed, I was addressing
- 6 the ignition sources.
- 7 Now we have moved from Mr. Stiffler
- 8 hiding in his bedroom smoking, so now he's walking
- 9 through the house smoking cigarettes.
- 10 Q. I just asked a question whether or not
- 11 you'd expect to find a cigarette in Mr. Stiffler's
- 12 room after a fire like this, but you've answered
- 13 it.
- 14 That's fine.
- 15 You mentioned the injuries were a reason
- 16 that you discount smoking and you mentioned
- 17 Mr. Stiffler's testimony about how he never smokes
- 18 in his room.
- 19 Is there anything else that allowed you
- 20 to discount smoking?
- 21 A. The fact that he was smoking immediately
- 22 prior to going upstairs to bed.
- 23 Q. Which suggests to you he wouldn't smoke
- 24 again in his room?
- A. What would have been the purpose?

Q. I'm just asking whether that was		
A. I believe his testimony that he wouldn't		
do that based on his testimony and his father's.		
Q. And anything else that allowed you to		
discount smoking materials as a likely cause of		
this fire?		
A. There's no ashtrays.		
Q. Anything else?		
A. Lack of ignition sources being present,		
like a lighter, ashtrays. There was no evidence		
of smoking occurring in the room.		
Q. Anything else?		
A. That's it.		
Q. Okay. I take it you're not gonna offer		
any opinion about what caused what you believed		
caused the iPhone 6S Plus to undergo a battery		
thermal event?		
A. Correct.		
Q. Whether it's a result of alleged		
malfunction of the phone or its exposure to		
something or to damage or to the battery		
management system or anything else, that's not an		
analysis you performed, nor is it an analysis		
you're that's within your lane of expertise as		
you described earlier?		

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Did you let's walk through your
3	report on some of the other potential ignition
4	sources you considered and ruled out.
5	We've talked about careless smoking by
6	Mr. Stiffler, right?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Okay. We've mentioned the drug
9	paraphernalia found in the room. And the reason
10	you discounted that was its position under the bed
11	and Mr. Stiffler's testimony, is that right?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Is there anything else with respect to
14	the marijuana pipe that caused you to discount it
15	as a potential cause of this fire?
16	A. There's no other smoking-related
17	materials discovered in the bedroom, other than
18	the cigarette pack that was under clothes.
19	Q. Fire causation due to failure of a
20	lighting circuit.
21	Did you consider or reject that?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. How?
24	A. The lighting circuit proceeded over
25	Mr. Stiffler's head and the switch was in the off

-		
1	$n \cap q :$	tion.
	PODI	CTOII.

- 2 Q. Was there any arc mapping performed?
- 3 A. According to the Fire Marshal and his
- 4 team, they -- they looked at the circuitry and
- 5 found it unremarkable.
- 6 Q. Was there any arc mapping that was
- 7 performed?
- 8 A. That generally would be the process.
- 9 You're looking at the electrical conductors, the
- 10 process of trying to find arcs. Until you find an
- 11 arc, you can't map it.
- 12 Q. Right. But did they --
- 13 A. So the circuitry would be drawn out in
- 14 this instance and it would be arc free. So your
- 15 arc map would be absent arcs.
- 16 Q. Well, right. But did they trace all of
- 17 the circuits?
- 18 A. I don't see how at their level that they
- 19 wouldn't be doing that. That's sort of a standard
- 20 procedure.
- 21 Q. Do you see -- is there any indication
- 22 that they did do that?
- A. No, only their testimony.
- 24 O. Their testimony?
- 25 A. Their statements in the report.

1	Q. Okay. But did that indicate that they
2	traced all of the circuits and performed an
3	analysis of arc mapping the way you've described
4	it?
5	A. Well, absent calling them a liar that he
6	reported that the system was eliminated as a
7	course of ignition for the fire, would lead me to
8	believe that they looked at it and did not find
9	any arcs that would be related to causation.
10	Q. So have you are you relying upon them
11	discounting it for your opinion that it is
12	discounted as a possible ignition source of the
13	fire?
14	A. You're talking about the lighting
15	circuit?
16	Q. Well, that was off.
17	But sure. As long as as well as some
18	of these other things. I mean I guess my
19	question is, for any of the electrical systems in
20	the house, did you do any analysis independent of
21	what's reported in the investigative reports that
22	were provided to you?
23	A. There was limited wiring in the
24	photograph, which is consistent with what the Fire
25	Marshal said.

1	The receptacles I believe were something
2	from below, so the fire didn't there was no
3	reason to believe the fire originated anywhere
4	near any of the receptacles.
5	And they eliminated what they believed
6	was the light circuits, which was from their
7	photographs, as I examined it.
8	So there was no indication that any of
9	the hard wiring or circuitry in the room was
10	causal. And the wiring that was above the
11	ceiling, the fire damage isn't consistent with a
12	fire in the interstitial space above the room of
13	origin.
14	Q. Page 6, fire causation due to high
15	resistence connection or overheating of the
16	extension cord powering the air conditioner in the
17	northwest bedroom window.
18	Tell me what your analysis was in
19	rejecting that hypothetical.
20	A. Receptacle R-2, a fire originating in
21	that location would be on the wall, drywall in
22	this instance. And we have carpeting, nylon
23	carpeting, with no report of extensive fuel load
24	in this room of origin; i.e., clothing, sloppy
25	housekeeping.

1	So the fire's ability to start at that
2	receptacle and traverse itself to result in
3	Mr. Stiffler's injuries is impossible in my
4	opinion.
5	Q. Fire causation due to mechanical or
6	electrical failure of window air conditioner?
7	A. Had the fire originated in the window
8	air conditioner, the failure of the window would
9	occur, which would result in a totally different
10	fire that was observed by Mrs. Stiffler, as well
11	as the injuries again to Robert.
12	Q. Fire causation due to an electrical
13	malfunction of the cable box or its appliance
14	cord?
15	A. The cable box was off. Simply showing
16	the time.
17	And I believe that cable box, they I
18	want to say it consumed like 20 watts. It's a low
19	heat device.
20	And if there was a failure inside the
21	box, I would expect it would stay inside the box.
22	Q. Why?
23	A. Because it's a steel box with limited
24	combustible materials.
25	Q. How many watts does the iPhone draw?

1	A. The charger for the iPhone, I believe,
2	is like EC current. I thought it was 5 watts.
3	Q. Is that less than 20?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Now, anything about the cable box other
6	than that it consumed only 20 watts and that if
7	there was a failure inside the box, you would
8	expect it to stay inside the box?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Okay. Did anyone well, what
11	analysis, if any, was performed to determine
12	whether or not there was a failure inside the box?
13	A. None.
14	Q. Do you know whether there was a failure
15	inside the box?
16	A. There could be a failure inside the box.
17	As a victim of the fire, certainly.
18	Q. Well, if no analysis was done to
19	determine whether or not there was a failure
20	inside the box, how do you discount it?
21	Simply because you would expect it to
22	stay inside the box?
23	A. Yeah, I believe I could almost
24	demonstrate it that that wouldn't be a competent
25	ignition source.

- 1 Q. But you have not done --
- 2 A. And, again, not to get into the
- 3 hypotheticals again, it wouldn't result in the
- 4 injuries suffered by Mr. Stiffler.
- 5 Q. You've done nothing to demonstrate that
- 6 despite you saying that could be demonstrated?
- 7 A. Right. It's my mental testing of the
- 8 scenarios.
- 9 O. Got it.
- 10 Is there a reason you didn't do any of
- 11 that testing?
- 12 A. That wasn't within the scope of the work
- 13 I was asked to perform.
- 14 O. If there was a failure inside the cable
- 15 box that ignited some type of fuel on the table,
- 16 why is that inconsistent with the injuries he had
- 17 gotten?
- 18 A. No. Other than the TV, there is nothing
- 19 else on the table other than cable box and the
- 20 iPhone.
- 21 The time and duration for that event to
- 22 turn into this localized flame, which burns
- 23 Mr. Stiffler, I don't see it as a credible
- 24 ignition source.
- 25 Q. Why?

- 1 A. Well, I've already explained it.
- Q. Well, you just stated --
- 3 A. There's no significant fuel load inside,
- 4 other than a circuit board inside that cable box.
- 5 It's absent any significant fuel. And it's
- 6 located in the center of the table.
- 7 So since it's in a metal enclosure, its
- 8 heat release rate, its ability to release energy
- 9 caused by a fire would be limited.
- 10 And I don't believe it's a capable
- 11 ignition source to result in the injuries that
- 12 Mr. Stiffler suffered.
- 13 O. Fire causation due to an electrical
- 14 failure or overheating of the Apple iPhone 6 Plus
- 15 charging cord. Question mark.
- 16 What's the reason that --
- 17 A. I was wondering, Steve, what's the
- 18 question?
- 19 O. I'm just going through your list.
- 20 A. All right. You're saying -- I believe
- 21 that it's a 5-0 cord. It's not a credible
- 22 ignition source to cause this fire that occurred
- 23 at the head of the bed.
- 24 O. Overheating and failure of an Apple 6S
- 25 Plus battery.

1	It seems like you discounted one through
2	nine and were unable to discount 10 in your view;
3	and therefore, you attributed the cause of the
4	fire to that.
5	Is that essentially the analysis?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. Was there anything affirmative about
8	anything you saw in the one photo that you think
9	is the iPhone that is supportive of that opinion?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Could you have run a test, in your mind,
12	that would have assessed whether or not an iPhone
13	at the stated level of charge was a credible
14	ignition source of this fire?
15	A. I don't have that ability. I relied
16	upon the information provided by Apple that there
17	were thermal events.
18	And based on that, that would lead me to
19	believe it is a credible ignition source.
20	Q. Okay. A thermal event is different than
21	something being an actual ignition source, right?
22	A. Well, Apple provides photos of those
23	thermal events.
24	Q. Do you believe that just because a
25	battery thermal event occurs, it's automatically

- 1 going to ignite something on fire? Is that your
- 2 belief?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 O. Do you believe that just because a
- 5 battery thermal event occurs that in all instances
- 6 it's a competent ignition source?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Okay. Do you know what level of state
- 9 of charge any of those battery thermal events that
- 10 have been reported regarding Apple iPhone 6 Pluses
- 11 were?
- 12 A. No, I don't.
- Q. Why didn't -- so at the start of your
- 14 paragraph on page 28 of your report, determination
- 15 of cause, you say you developed and tested the
- 16 following hypotheses.
- Why didn't you -- you didn't test this
- 18 hypothetical for that Apple iPhone 6+ battery, did
- 19 you?
- 20 A. The testing of hypotheses is against the
- 21 data known. That's what the testing is. Not
- 22 necessarily actual physical test. It was a mental
- 23 exercise.
- Q. Why didn't you test -- well, aside from
- 25 a mental exercise, why didn't you test this to see

1	whether it was actually possible?
2	A. Why didn't I?
3	Q. Yeah.
4	A. Realistically?
5	Q. Realistically.
6	A. I don't have the budget.
7	Q. Fair enough.
8	A. If you're gonna give me an engineer,
9	I'll test it all day long.
10	Right?
11	Q. Do you know whether or not testing has
12	been conducted to determine whether or not battery
13	thermal events at this low state of charge can be
14	a competent ignition for a fire?
15	A. I want to say that I've heard of some
16	testing, but no, I haven't reviewed any reports
17	that would say that.
18	Q. Okay. And, you know, I think we've
19	covered all this, but you're not being asked to
20	and certainly don't intend to offer an opinion as
21	to whether or not the subject iPhone had a
22	manufacturing defect, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And you're not being asked to, nor did
25	you intend to offer any opinions that the subject

iPhone had a design defect, correct? 1 Α. Correct. 3 Don't take anything from this. I just Ο. ask this from everyone, every expert. 4 5 Have you ever been limited or otherwise 6 prevented from offering testimony in any case? 7 By who? Α. 8 Q. A Court, a judge? 9 Α. No. 10 Okay. All right. I'm gonna take a look Ο. at my notes. Let's take a five-minute break and 11 then we can wrap up. 12 13 Α. All right. Thank you. (Recess - 3:42 p.m. - 3:51 p.m.) 14 15 BY MR. COPENHAVER: 16 All right. Mr. Ryhal, are you okay to Q. finish up now? 17 Α. 18 Yep. All right. I just want to make sure 19 that I understand the four corners of your opinion 20 as to the iPhone. 21 As I understand it, you have attributed 22 the iPhone -- or the cause of the fire to the 23 iPhone really by process of elimination by ruling 2.4 out other things that were within the area of 25

1 origin as you determined it to be, is that right? Correct. 3 Ο. Okay. And the area of origin, again, is in the immediate vicinity of the table that you 4 believe was adjacent to Mr. Stiffler's head and 5 face at the head end of the bed, correct? 6 7 Α. Correct. 8 0. All right. Have you seen the diagram 9 that Mr. Stiffler drew? 10 Α. No. Do you see that? 11 Q. 12 Α. Yes. This is Exhibit A from his deposition. 13 Ο. 14 And can you see that he drew the table 15 closer to the foot end of the bed? 16 Α. Yes. Ο. All right. And that's inconsistent with 17 where you believe the fire started? 18 Well, it's inconsistent with where they 19 Α. identified, you know, the table in the diagram, 20 21 but again, that was not in active communication with them directly, so... 22 That location of the table where 23 Mr. Stiffler has it in his diagram actually is in 2.4 the area where there's a hole burnt through the 25

- 1 floor with heavy oxidation to the bed frame.
- Q. But it's away from his face, is it not?
- 3 A. That's against his testimony.
- 4 What he testified to is that the table
- 5 was right there.
- 6 Q. Right where?
- 7 A. Right in front of his face.
- 8 Q. So are we believing his testimony, this
- 9 diagram or the other diagram?
- 10 A. I would say in all fairness to
- 11 Mr. Stiffler, you would not be a viable
- 12 architectural candidate. I don't know.
- 13 It's a diagram. I don't know what to
- 14 say about it. But as a diagram, it's pretty
- 15 crude.
- 16 Q. Okay. You didn't consider where
- 17 Mr. Stiffler indicated the table was in performing
- 18 your origin analysis, based on this diagram at
- 19 least?
- 20 A. No, I didn't see that diagram. Even if
- 21 you look at the window, the window is obviously
- 22 not in the correct location.
- Q. All right. Did -- is the list of
- 24 hypotheses that you considered, was that generated
- 25 from what Mr. Stiffler said was in what you

205

1	believe to be the area of origin or based upon
2	materials found by the Allegheny County Fire
3	Department?
4	A. The list that I developed in the testing
5	for determination of cause is based upon the Fire
6	Marshal's photos and documentation, John Henry's
7	documentation, and the testimony of the Stifflers.
8	So I would have analyzed all their
9	photos, looking for potential ignition sources.
10	Q. Was the cord for the iPhone ever
11	captured?
12	A. I'm not aware if it was or it wasn't.
13	Q. Was it ever identified?
14	A. Again, I'm unaware if they identified it
15	as a cord or not.
16	Q. And now that we have the better
17	photos that I have the better photos from
18	Mr. Henry, I want to make sure I understand which
19	one you believe to depict the actual phone.
20	Can you remind me of what number that
21	was?
22	A. You're asking why?

Q. And is there a reason that you didn't

Q. Well, stipulated.

A. Henry 166.

23

24

206

1 call that out in your report? I just stated that I believe the iPhone 3 was in the area of origin. So I don't have a close-up photograph to say with absolute 4 5 certainty, but to me it appears to be the iPhone. What color was his phone? 6 7 I don't know that he had a protective Α. cover on it. 8 9 What color was the cover? Ο. I don't know that. Wouldn't matter, 10 Α. because it had been subjected to fire. 11 The color of his iPhone is on the 12 13 receipt, I thought. 14 Ο. Can you go to Henry 144 and 145? 15 Α. That's not in my report, correct? Correct, it is not. 16 Q. 144 is the bottom of the stairs. 17 Α. In the basement or on the main level? 18 Ο. I believe that's in the kitchen. 19 Α. Okay. I just couldn't tell. 20 Q. 21 That's a cigarette, right? 22 Α. Yep. Is it just -- I mean, like a tobacco 23 Ο.

Yeah, there's a trash can that was

cigarette, isn't it?

24

knocked over. It's either to the right in this

NETWORK DEPOSITION SERVICES Transcript of Robert G. Ryhal

207

- photo or to the left. The refrigerator is to the 3 right. 4 All right. Have you prepared any 5 exemplars or demonstratives or any type of 6 exhibits you currently intend on showing at trial 7 other than those embedded in your report? 8 Α. No. 9 Have you been asked to prepare any? Ο. 10 Α. No. Have we talked about all the opinions 11 0. that you intend to offer at trial? 12 I believe so. 13 14 Have you provided me a description of
- 17 MR. COPENHAVER: I think those are all

all of the bases for those various opinions?

18 the questions I have with you with the only

Yes, I have.

- 19 caveat -- and I don't expect anything where you
- 20 received from your files to open avenues of
- 21 questions, but we reserve the right to do so
- 22 because we didn't get them before the deposition
- 23 started.

Α.

1

15

- MR. SANTICOLA: Sure.
- MR. COPENHAVER: All right. We're done.

1	THE REPORTER: Would you like a copy?
2	MR. SANTICOLA: I do.
3	MR. COPENHAVER: Electronically.
4	(Deposition concluded at 4:01 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	COUNTY OF LANCASTER : SS
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA:
3	I, Joyce A. Wise, RMR, Court Reporter and
4	Notary Public, do hereby certify that ROBERT G.
5	RYHAL, the witness, personally appeared before me,
6	being first duly sworn or affirmed to testify to
7	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
8	truth, in answer to the oral questions propounded
9	to him by the attorneys for the respective
10	parties, testified as set forth in the foregoing
11	deposition.
12	I further certify that before taking of said
13	deposition, the above witness was duly sworn or
14	affirmed, that the questions and answers were
15	taken down stenographically by the said Joyce A.
16	Wise, RMR, approved and agreed to, and afterwards
17	reduced to print by means of computer-aided
18	transcription under the direction of the aforesaid
19	Reporter.
20	In testimony whereof, I have hereunto
21	subscribed my hand this 9th day of August 2022.
22	
23	<u> </u>
24	Notary Public
25	
i	